

The Self-Referential Light Universe: An Emergent Quantum Gravity Framework from U(1) Gauge Fields on Spin Networks

Holger Troitzschel
Independent Researcher, Germany

August 18, 2026

Abstract

This paper introduces a self-referential cosmological framework in which spacetime geometry, matter, and gravitational interactions are proposed to emerge exclusively from the self-observation of quantized electromagnetic fields. By coupling U(1) gauge fields directly to the discrete graph structure of Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), a non-linear feedback loop between energy density and spatial volume is established. We define a quantum Hamiltonian operator for light acting on spin-network states and argue that electromagnetic flux excitations can alter geometric quantum numbers. In addition, we explore whether fermionic matter can emerge as topological braids of light ribbons. Physical implications are discussed, including a possible resolution of singularities via a stable “Quantum Geon” configuration, as well as testable predictions concerning alternatives to particle dark matter and signatures in primordial gravitational waves.

1 Introduction

Modern theoretical physics faces a fundamental tension at the Planck scale: the smooth, continuous pseudo-Riemannian manifold of Einstein’s General Relativity conflicts with the discrete, fluctuating nature of quantum field theory. Standard semi-classical approaches attempt to bridge this gap by equating classical geometry to the expectation value of a quantized stress-energy tensor,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\langle\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}\rangle. \quad (1)$$

This formulation, however, yields non-renormalizable divergences near gravitational singularities (black-hole interiors or the early universe). Moreover, the averaging inherent in the expectation value erases the microscopic quantum fluctuations of geometry—the so-called “quantum foam.”

In this work we propose a conceptual shift: gravity is not treated as an independent fundamental force, nor is spacetime regarded as a passive arena. Instead, spacetime geometry, matter and gravitational interactions are argued to emerge from the non-linear self-observation of quantized electromagnetic fields. By embedding a U(1) gauge field directly onto the discrete graph of Loop Quantum Gravity, the ontological distinction between “stage” and “actors” is eliminated. In this picture there is no structureless vacuum; the universe consists of a self-referential network of light. The gravitational constant G is interpreted as an effective coupling coefficient of this recursive process.

2 Historical Context and Literature Review

2.1 Wheeler’s Classical Geons versus Quantum Stabilization

In 1955 John Archibald Wheeler introduced “geons” (gravitational-electromagnetic entities). He postulated that a sufficiently dense concentration of electromagnetic waves could curve spacetime strongly enough to bind the light into a closed orbit (a photon sphere). While philosophically related to the present framework, classical geons are unstable under linear perturbations and lack a mechanism that prevents collapse into a Schwarzschild singularity.

The present approach attempts to address these difficulties by placing the U(1) field on a discrete spin network. The continuous collapse is then limited by the discrete spectrum of the LQG volume operator, whose eigenvalues are bounded from below by a multiple of ℓ_P^3 . The classical Wheeler geon is thereby re-interpreted as a topologically quantized, potentially stable configuration of pure light—a “quantum geon.”

2.2 Kaluza–Klein Paradigms and the Direction of Emergence

Kaluza–Klein theories of the 1920s sought to unify gravity and electromagnetism by introducing a compactified fifth dimension. In that setting the electromagnetic field emerges as a geometric component of a higher-dimensional metric: geometry precedes light. The present model inverts this hierarchy. The U(1) gauge field is taken as primary; the four-dimensional metric is regarded as an emergent property arising from the field’s recursive self-observation.

2.3 Modern Emergent Gravity

Erik Verlinde’s entropic-gravity proposal treats gravitational interactions as thermodynamic phenomena arising from the statistical information density of an underlying quantum substrate. While sharing the philosophy of emergence, Verlinde’s framework remains abstract concerning the concrete nature of the information carriers. Here the U(1) gauge fields of the Standard Model are offered as a concrete candidate. The paper also builds on results in Loop Quantum Gravity showing that matter fields can induce geometric properties on spin networks, and explores whether a purely electromagnetic feedback loop can generate the area and volume operators of the physical geometry.

3 Mathematical Framework

The classical spacetime metric is decomposed in terms of Ashtekar variables: an SU(2) connection A_a^i and its conjugate densitized triad $\hat{E}_i^a$.

The dynamics of the purely electromagnetic universe is governed by the quantum Hamiltonian constraint

$$(\hat{H}_{\text{Geometry}} + \hat{H}_{\text{Light}})|\Psi\rangle = 0. \quad (2)$$

In LQG variables this takes the schematic form

$$\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{F}_{ab}^i \hat{E}_j^a \hat{E}_k^b + \hat{H}_{\text{Light}}(\hat{A}, \hat{E}) \right) |\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{F}_{ab}^i$ is the curvature of the Ashtekar connection. A fully rigorous definition of the light Hamiltonian on the spin-network Hilbert space remains to be constructed; the expressions above serve as a formal ansatz.

4 The Light Operator on Spin Networks

Quantum states $|\Psi\rangle$ live on a spin-network graph Γ . Each edge e carries both a geometric spin $j_e \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots\}$ and an electromagnetic flux quantum number $q_e \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A candidate high-energy light operator is written, in a continuum-inspired mode expansion, as

$$\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Light}} = \sum_k \left(k_\mu k_\nu - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} k^\alpha k_\alpha \right) \left(\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (4)$$

Because the underlying graph is discrete, the sum is cut off at the Planck scale, so that the zero-point contribution remains finite. No ultraviolet catastrophe or cosmological-constant problem of the usual magnitude appears at this formal level.

The central dynamical claim is that the action of the light Hamiltonian on an edge simultaneously shifts the electromagnetic quantum number q_e and the geometric spin j_e . In particular it is conjectured that, through the intertwiner structure at the vertices, an increase $q_e \rightarrow q_e + 1$ induces a corresponding change $j_e \rightarrow j_e + 1$. Since the area eigenvalue is proportional to $\sqrt{j(j+1)}$, an increase in electromagnetic excitation would then enlarge the local geometry. This feedback is summarized by a schematic matrix element

$$\langle \iota_{\text{new}} | \hat{H}_{\text{Light}} | \iota_{\text{old}} \rangle \propto \hbar\omega \cdot \text{Tr}(\hat{h}_\alpha \hat{h}_\beta \hat{h}_\alpha^{-1} \hat{h}_\beta^{-1}) \cdot \hat{V}^{-1} \quad (5)$$

and by the action of the volume operator

$$\hat{V}|\Psi\rangle = \ell_P^3 \sqrt{\Delta_\iota} |\Psi\rangle. \quad (6)$$

These relations remain conjectural; a precise operator realization and a demonstration that the spectrum is consistent with the LQG area and volume operators have not yet been given.

4.1 Fermions as Topological Braids of Light

To account for the existence of fermions without introducing additional ad-hoc charged fields, we extend the algebraic structure of the intertwiners at the spin-network nodes. We propose that fundamental fermionic charges and spins can emerge as topological invariants of embedded ribbon graphs.

Consider a trivalent vertex v whose incident edges are realized as flat ribbons with well-defined topology. The gauge group $U(1)_{\text{Light}}$ induces a local torsion (twist) of these ribbons. A topological charge operator can be defined via the total twist $T_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and writhe $W_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the ribbon edges:

$$\hat{Q}_{\text{Top}}|\Psi\rangle = e \sum_{e \ni v} (T_e + \frac{1}{2} W_e) |\Psi\rangle. \quad (7)$$

A fermionic state corresponds in this picture to a stable topological configuration—a braid of three ribbons. The elementary particles of the Standard Model can be heuristically assigned by projecting skew-symmetric representations of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ onto the knot topology:

- Positron (e^+): three ribbons with positive twist $(+1, +1, +1)$,
- Up quark (u): two untwisted ribbons and one ribbon with positive twist $(0, 0, +1)$,
- Electron neutrino (ν_e): three untwisted but mutually braided ribbons.

Because the fermionic wave function acquires a minus sign under a geometric rotation by 2π due to the ribbon braiding ($\Delta\theta = 2\pi \implies |\Psi\rangle \rightarrow -|\Psi\rangle$), the Pauli principle (half-integer spin) can arise combinatorially from the non-commutativity of the braid group B_3 . In this sense matter appears as locally knotted and braided light. It must be emphasized that this assignment remains speculative and still requires a rigorous derivation from the dynamical coupling of $U(1)$ holonomies and intertwiners.

5 Physical Implications and Singularity Resolution

5.1 The Stable Quantum Geon

A classical geon is an unstable electromagnetic wave packet held together solely by its own gravity. In the present discrete setting the lower bound on the volume spectrum prevents complete collapse. A coherent multi-photon state concentrated on a closed loop of high flux is therefore expected to settle into a stationary, highly entangled vertex configuration—a quantum geon.

The classical photonic orbital frequency on the photon sphere suffers from the singularity of point masses. In our framework the effective radius of the photon sphere R_G is modified by the discrete spectrum of the LQG area operator. The minimal area gap is given by

$$\Delta_0 = 4\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma\ell_P^2, \quad (8)$$

where γ denotes the Barbero–Immirzi parameter. For a highly excited, coherent geon state $|\Psi_{\text{Geon}}\rangle$ of total quantized mass M we modify the Schwarzschild radius through an effective non-local correction term. The modified metric coefficient reads

$$g_{00}(r) = - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \left[1 + \gamma \frac{\ell_P^2}{r^2} \right]^{-1} \right). \quad (9)$$

Imposing the photon-sphere condition $\frac{d}{dr}(g_{00}/r^2) = 0$ yields the quantum-corrected radius

$$R_G = \frac{3GM}{c^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{9}\gamma \frac{\ell_P^2}{R_S^2}}, \quad (10)$$

where $R_S = 2GM/c^2$ is the classical Schwarzschild radius. Taylor expansion of the inverse frequency relation $f_{\text{Geon}} = c/(2\pi R_G)$ produces the corrected formula

$$f_{\text{Geon}} = \frac{c^3}{6\pi GM} \left(1 + \frac{1}{9}\gamma \frac{\ell_P^2}{R_G^2} + \frac{11}{162}\gamma^2 \frac{\ell_P^4}{R_G^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell_P^6}{R_G^6}\right) \right). \quad (11)$$

This fundamental frequency shows that the quantum geon does not collapse in the limit $M \rightarrow m_P$ (Planck mass) but reaches a finite maximum vibration frequency of order the Planck frequency, because the minimal spacetime content is protected by the fundamental quantum volume of LQG.

5.2 Testable Cosmological Predictions

Three observational consequences are suggested:

1. **Vacuum birefringence and photon dispersion.** Because the quantum foam is electromagnetic in origin, high-energy photons propagating over cosmological distances may experience a small frequency-dependent dispersion. Existing and future gamma-ray-burst observations (e.g., INTEGRAL, Fermi) can constrain or rule out such an effect.
2. **Absence of fundamental dark-matter particles.** Galactic rotation anomalies are attributed to the gravitational influence of macroscopic, coherent geon-like states of background light rather than to new weakly interacting particles. This picture is consistent with the continuing null results of direct-detection experiments, but must still confront cluster-scale and cosmological data.
3. **Primordial gravitational-wave signatures.** A light-driven quantum bounce in place of a Big-Bang singularity would modify the polarization pattern of the cosmic microwave background. An explicit relation between the fine-structure constant α and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is conjectured; it could be tested by future CMB polarization experiments and space-based interferometers such as LISA.

6 Conclusion and Outlook

We have outlined a minimalist, self-referential framework in which geometry, matter and gravity are viewed as emergent properties of a $U(1)$ gauge field living on an LQG spin network. Classical singularities are avoided by the discrete, non-vanishing spectra of the geometric operators, giving rise to candidate Planck-scale configurations (quantum geons). In addition, the possibility that fermionic matter arises as topological braids of light ribbons has been explored.

The construction remains at the level of a conceptual proposal. Future work must supply a rigorous definition of the light Hamiltonian, a proof of the claimed spin-flux coupling, a derivation of the effective Newton constant, a more precise topological construction of the fermions, and quantitative predictions that can be confronted with observation. Only then can the claim that the universe is “fundamentally a self-referential loop of light” be assessed on firm ground.

References

- [1] J. A. Wheeler, “Geons,” *Phys. Rev.* **97**, 511 (1955).
- [2] E. Verlinde, “On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton,” *JHEP* **04**, 029 (2011).
- [3] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, “Background independent quantum gravity: A status report,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21**, R53 (2004).
- [4] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [5] T. Thiemann, “Quantum spin dynamics (QSD),” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 839 (1998); “QSD V: Quantum gravity as the natural regulator of the Hamiltonian constraint of quantum gravity,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 1281 (1998).
- [6] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, “Quantum theory of geometry I: Area operators,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, A55 (1997).
- [7] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, “Discreteness of area and volume in quantum gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **442**, 593 (1995); Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **456**, 753 (1995).
- [8] G. Immirzi, “Real and complex connections for canonical gravity,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, L177 (1997).
- [9] S. O. Bilson-Thompson, “A topological model of composite preons,” arXiv:hep-ph/0503213 (2005); S. O. Bilson-Thompson, F. Markopoulou and L. Smolin, “Quantum gravity and the standard model,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24**, 3975 (2007).
- [10] J. C. Baez and J. P. Muniain, *Gauge Fields, Knots and Gravity*, World Scientific (1994).