

In Search for a Deeper Reality

Velislav Tsvetanov

Independent researcher

May 5, 2026

Abstract

This work proposes a qualitative framework in which gravity is understood not merely as spacetime curvature but as an emergent manifestation of a deeper, presently inaccessible geometric structure that governs the stability and decay of quantum field configurations. Within this picture, particles are interpreted as stable, low-entropy wave patterns (“puzzle fits”) of underlying fields, and decay processes correspond to geometry-induced reconfigurations of these patterns. Phenomena such as Hawking radiation, the Unruh effect, and cosmological particle creation are reinterpreted as evidence that particle content and stability depend fundamentally on background geometry. This suggests that there exists a more fundamental geometrical substrate from which both spacetime and matter excitations emerge. The aim of this paper is to articulate this viewpoint precisely enough that it can be connected to existing quantum field theory in curved spacetime and used as a conceptual guide for further work in quantum gravity and emergent spacetime scenarios.

1 Introduction

Modern physics describes matter and interactions through quantum field theory (QFT) and gravity through general relativity (GR). In QFT, particles are excitations of underlying fields that fill spacetime. In GR, gravity is identified with the curvature of spacetime induced by energy and momentum [1, 2, 3].

However, several phenomena—Hawking radiation from black holes, the Unruh effect for accelerated observers, and cosmological particle creation in an expanding universe—demonstrate that even the notion of a “particle” is observer- and geometry-dependent. A state that appears as vacuum to one observer corresponds to a thermal bath of particles for another [4, 5, 6, 7].

This paper explores a conceptual framework in which:

1. Particles are stable composite wave patterns (“puzzle fits”) of underlying quantum fields.
2. Decay processes are geometry-driven transitions, where previously stable patterns become misfit and reconfigure into new patterns.
3. Gravity, in a broad sense, is a manifestation of a deeper geometric layer that constrains which field patterns are stable and how they may reconfigure.

The goal is not to provide a full mathematical theory, but to re-express known results in a unified language that suggests a direction: searching for a deeper reality in which geometry and field excitations are different aspects of a single underlying structure.

2 Particles as Stable Composite Wave Patterns

In quantum field theory, each particle species corresponds to a quantum field, and what is called a particle is a localized excitation of that field [3]. Bound states, such as nuclei or composite particles, are more complex excitations: several field modes locked together by interactions (strong, electromagnetic, weak) into a relatively stable configuration.

2.1 Composite states and entanglement

A bound state can be viewed as:

- A composite wave pattern, where multiple field modes form a single effective excitation.
- An entangled state, where the internal degrees of freedom are strongly correlated and are not observed as separate particles, but as a single object [11].

In nuclear physics, alpha decay is often modeled in terms of a pre-formed alpha cluster inside the parent nucleus. This cluster constitutes a coherent substructure held inside the nuclear potential well, and decay occurs via quantum tunneling through the potential barrier [9, 10].

This motivates the intuitive picture:

A particle or nucleus is a stable “puzzle fit” of field modes and entanglement, forming one coherent wave pattern that typical detectors register as a single entity.

3 Decay as Geometry-Induced Reconfiguration

Radioactive and particle decays are usually described via interaction terms in the QFT Lagrangian, together with conservation laws and tunneling through effective potentials [9, 6]. The conceptual proposal here is to reinterpret decay as follows.

Before decay, a metastable composite wave pattern fits the background geometry well enough to persist for some lifetime. At decay, the background geometry and interaction structure make this pattern dynamically unstable; the system transitions to a configuration where the same “puzzle pieces” (field modes) are rearranged into separate excitations plus kinetic energy. After decay, the decay products are separate localized excitations, typically entangled with one another, moving apart in spacetime [11].

In standard language, one speaks of the instability of a metastable state and tunneling through a potential barrier. In the present framework, the emphasis is on the role of geometry: the background structure determines which composite wave patterns are approximate energy eigenstates and which are not.

Hypothesis 1. *The stability and decay of composite field configurations (particles, nuclei) are governed not only by local interaction strengths, but also by a deeper geometric structure that determines which patterns can exist as single coherent excitations.*

4 Geometry, Observers, and Particle Content

QFT in curved spacetime shows that particle content is not absolute. Different observers, following different worldlines in a given geometry, may disagree on whether a given state contains particles [1, 2].

4.1 Unruh effect

The Unruh effect states that an observer undergoing uniform acceleration in Minkowski spacetime perceives the Minkowski vacuum as a thermal bath of particles at a temperature proportional to the acceleration [5, 8]. For a uniformly accelerated observer with proper acceleration a in flat spacetime, the Unruh temperature is

$$T_U = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi c k_B}, \quad (1)$$

where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, c the speed of light, and k_B Boltzmann's constant.

An inertial observer attributes no particles to this state. In the present picture, this can be interpreted as follows. The effective “puzzle board” (effective geometry as seen by the accelerated observer) is different from that seen by an inertial observer. A field configuration that is a perfect fit (vacuum) relative to the inertial geometry becomes a misfit relative to the accelerated geometry and is naturally re-expressed as a thermal distribution of excitations.

Hypothesis 2. *Particle/vacuum distinctions are emergent projections of a deeper geometric structure; different observers correspond to different projections, leading to different “fits” and hence different particle contents.*

5 Black Holes and Hawking Radiation

Hawking radiation arises when a quantum field is considered in the curved spacetime of a black hole. Modes that are initially in their vacuum state with respect to the collapsing geometry appear, to distant observers, as a thermal flux of particles radiated by the black hole [4, 1, 2, 13].

In the standard derivation, one relates the “in” and “out” mode solutions of a quantum field on a collapsing black hole background via Bogoliubov transformations [4, 1, 2]:

$$a_\omega^{\text{out}} = \sum_{\omega'} \left(\alpha_{\omega\omega'} a_{\omega'}^{\text{in}} + \beta_{\omega\omega'} a_{\omega'}^{\text{in}\dagger} \right), \quad (2)$$

where a_ω^{in} and a_ω^{out} are annihilation operators for the in- and out-modes, and $\alpha_{\omega\omega'}$, $\beta_{\omega\omega'}$ are Bogoliubov coefficients. The expectation value of the out-particle number operator in the in-vacuum is

$$\langle 0_{\text{in}} | N_\omega^{\text{out}} | 0_{\text{in}} \rangle = \sum_{\omega'} |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2, \quad (3)$$

which, for a Schwarzschild black hole, yields a thermal spectrum

$$\langle N_\omega^{\text{out}} \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T_H} - 1}, \quad (4)$$

with the Hawking temperature

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\pi k_B c}, \quad (5)$$

where κ is the surface gravity of the black hole.

In the proposed picture:

- Before horizon formation, field modes form a global pattern that is vacuum relative to the initial geometry.
- As the horizon forms, the geometry develops an effective separation between regions inside and outside the horizon.
- This change in geometry breaks the global fit of the original field configuration, requiring it to re-express as two entangled sets of modes: one falling inside, one escaping to infinity.

The escaping modes are perceived as Hawking quanta; the infalling modes are their entangled partners [14].

Hypothesis 3. *Hawking radiation is an explicit instance of geometry-induced splitting of a previously unified vacuum pattern into two entangled sets of excitations, supporting the idea that geometry controls which wave patterns remain unified and which must separate.*

6 Cosmological Particle Creation

In an expanding universe, the scale factor $a(t)$ changes with time, altering the mode structure of quantum fields. A state that is vacuum at early times generally contains particles at late times due to the time-dependent background. This is cosmological particle creation and is central in inflationary cosmology and the origin of primordial perturbations [6, 7, 12].

In the “puzzle fit” language:

- Early-time geometry: field modes fit the expanding spacetime in one configuration; the vacuum is defined with respect to this geometry.
- As the universe expands: the background changes, and the old mode decomposition no longer diagonalizes the Hamiltonian; the previous vacuum becomes a superposition of excitations relative to the new geometry.

Observers at late times perceive particle creation and amplification of quantum fluctuations.

Hypothesis 4. *Cosmological particle creation is a manifestation of geometry-driven reconfiguration, where the evolving background makes previously stable vacuum patterns misfit, causing them to relax into new configurations containing particles.*

7 Towards a Deeper Geometric Layer

The common pattern in the Unruh effect, Hawking radiation, and cosmological particle creation is that:

1. The distinction between “particle” and “vacuum” depends on the geometry and the observer.
2. Changes in geometry (horizons, acceleration, expansion) can turn vacuum-like configurations into excited states, i.e., create particles.

This motivates the central proposal of this paper:

Conjecture. *There exists a more fundamental geometrical structure \mathcal{G} , not directly accessible to current experiments, on which the true degrees of freedom live. Our observed spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the associated QFT description are effective projections of \mathcal{G} . Stability and decay of composite field configurations are determined by how well their patterns fit the structure of \mathcal{G} . Processes such as Hawking radiation, the Unruh effect, and cosmological particle creation are manifestations of changes in this effective projection, where a pattern that was stable in one projection becomes unstable or splits in another.*

7.1 A toy model for the deeper geometry \mathcal{G}

As a first step toward formalizing the conjecture, one may treat \mathcal{G} as a manifold (or more general structure) endowed with an effective metric-like object \mathcal{H}_{AB} and fields Φ living on it, with an action

$$S[\mathcal{H}, \Phi] = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d^n X \sqrt{|\mathcal{H}|} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{H}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}(\mathcal{H}, \Phi) \right), \quad (6)$$

where X^A are coordinates on \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}}$ is a geometric Lagrangian (e.g. containing curvature invariants of \mathcal{H}_{AB}), and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}$ describes the underlying degrees of freedom.

The observed spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is then assumed to arise as an effective, coarse-grained quantity obtained from \mathcal{H}_{AB} by a projection or averaging map

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}[\mathcal{H}_{AB}, \Phi](x), \quad (7)$$

for some functional \mathcal{F} that encodes how the deeper geometry and fields are seen by low-energy observers. In this language, different observers or backgrounds correspond to different effective projections \mathcal{F} , leading to different particle/vacuum decompositions.

7.2 Relation to emergent gravity approaches

The conjectured structure \mathcal{G} is conceptually related to emergent gravity and entanglement-based approaches. In Jacobson’s work, the Einstein equations are derived as an equation of state from assumptions about entropy and the Clausius relation applied to local Rindler horizons. In Verlinde’s emergent gravity, gravitational dynamics arise from entropic forces associated with changes in microscopic information. In holographic dualities, bulk geometry is encoded in boundary quantum degrees of freedom.

The present proposal differs in emphasis: it treats the deeper geometry \mathcal{G} as the primary arena for both matter and gravitational degrees of freedom, with the observed metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ emerging via a projection map (7). Particle stability and decay are interpreted directly in terms of how composite field patterns fit or misfit the structure of \mathcal{G} , rather than primarily in terms of entropy or boundary dualities [15, 16].

7.3 Predictions and possible tests

Although the present framework is primarily conceptual, it suggests several qualitative predictions:

- **Geometry-dependent corrections to decay rates:** beyond standard gravitational redshift and time-dilation effects, the decay rates of certain metastable states may receive additional corrections that depend on curvature invariants or other geometric quantities characterizing the background, reflecting changes in the “fit” of the composite pattern.
- **Geometry-sensitive entanglement structures:** the entanglement entropy and correlation patterns of decay products may carry signatures of the underlying geometry, especially in strong-field or analogue-gravity settings where horizons or effective accelerations are present.

These ideas can, in principle, be investigated using quantum field theory in curved spacetime, cosmological particle creation calculations, and analogue gravity experiments, providing possible ways to confront the conjecture with observation [7, 12].

8 Conclusion

Starting from the intuition that particles are composite waves whose internal “puzzle pieces” can be pulled apart only by geometry, this paper has reinterpreted several known QFT-in-curved-spacetime phenomena. Hawking radiation, the Unruh effect, and cosmological particle creation all support the view that particle content and stability are not absolute, but depend on background geometry and observer.

This motivates the conjecture that there exists a deeper geometric layer \mathcal{G} , of which our observed spacetime and quantum fields are emergent projections. Geometry, in this deeper sense, would be the organizing principle that controls which field patterns can exist as unified particles and when they must decay or split. While highly speculative, this viewpoint is consistent with existing formal frameworks and suggests concrete directions for further mathematical and experimental exploration.

References

- [1] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press (1982).
- [2] R. M. Wald, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics*, University of Chicago Press (1994).
- [3] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press (1995).
- [4] S. W. Hawking, “Particle creation by black holes”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199–220 (1975).
- [5] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black-hole evaporation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870–892 (1976).

- [6] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity*, Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [7] L. H. Ford, “Cosmological Particle Production: A Review”, *Universe* **8**, 330 (2022), arXiv:2112.02444.
- [8] S. A. Fulling, “Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian spacetime”, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2850–2862 (1973).
- [9] J. S. Lilley, *Nuclear Physics: Principles and Applications*, Wiley (2001).
- [10] O. K. Manuel and D. D. Sabu, “The origin of alpha radioactivity”, *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry* **19**, 189–201 (1974).
- [11] D. Campo and R. Parentani, “Entanglement entropy in quantum field theory with particle creation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 025001 (2006).
- [12] L. H. Ford, “Quantum field theory in curved spacetime”, in *100 Years of Relativity*, edited by A. Ashtekar, World Scientific (2005).
- [13] R. Parentani, “Hawking radiation”, *Scholarpedia* **6**(10): 6622 (2011).
- [14] S. B. Giddings, “Hawking radiation, the Stefan–Boltzmann law, and unitarization”, *Phys. Lett. B* **754**, 39–42 (2016).
- [15] E. P. Verlinde, “Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe”, *SciPost Phys.* **2**, 016 (2017), arXiv:1611.02269.
- [16] T. Jacobson, “Entanglement Equilibrium and the Einstein Equation”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 201101 (2016).