

# Unification of the Four Fundamental Forces via Spectral Geometry of the Vacuum

Bertrand Jarry  
souverainbertrand64@gmail.com  
<https://github.com/berjarry71/QVG>

March, 2026

## Abstract

We present Quantum Vacuum Geometry (QVG), a framework that unifies the four fundamental forces within a single spectral integral

$$Z[D] = \int [dD] \exp(\text{Tr} [f(D^2/\Lambda^2)]), \quad D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F$$

where  $D_F$  encodes matter and  $D_{\text{ext}}$  encodes geometry. The three Standard Model forces reside in  $D_F$  through the unique algebra  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  (derived from five axioms C1-C5); gravity emerges from  $D_{\text{ext}}$  as depletion of the spectral vacuum. All four force-carriers - photon,  $W^\pm/Z^0$ , gluons, and graviton - are residues of  $1/q^2$  poles of the same propagator.

Four quantitative relations connect the forces without free parameters: (U1)  $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8$ ; (U2)  $G_N = 2\pi^2 \hbar c / (N_F \Lambda^2)$  with  $N_F = 96$ , linking gravitational strength to the fermionic content of the SM; (U3)  $S_{BH} = 8 \times A/4l_{\text{Pl}}^2$  with factor  $8 = N_F/N_{\text{bosons}}$ ; (U4)  $S[D_{\text{total}}] = \sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i)$  exactly (no BCH corrections, since  $[D_{\text{ext}}, D_F] = 0$ ).

The discretisation of geometry by  $E_0$  is the gravitational analogue of Planck's discretisation of the electromagnetic field by  $\hbar$ : the equilibrium condition  $Z_{S^4}(E_0) = N_F = 96$  plays the role of Planck's thermal equilibrium, and  $G_N$  is the coupling between the two discretisations. Gravity is weak because it is diluted over  $N_F = 96$  spectral modes, whereas electromagnetism couples through a single  $U(1)$  mode.

All nineteen Standard Model parameters,  $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.709$  K (0.8% accord), and  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$  are derived from C1-C5 with one free dimensional input  $M_{\text{Pl}}$ . The number of fermion generations  $N_g = 3$  is derived from the intersection of CP-violation and BBN constraints on  $D_F$ . The most urgent experimental test is  $w = -1$  exactly, now challenged at  $2.8 - 4.2\sigma$  by DESI DR2; DESI DR3 and Euclid (2026-2027) will provide a near-definitive verdict.

Keywords: unification of fundamental forces, noncommutative geometry, spectral triple, graviton, vacuum depletion, Standard Model, cosmological constant, PlanckQVG correspondence, spectral vacuum.

# Contents

1	Introduction .....	5
1.1	The unification problem .....	5
1.2	Strategy .....	5
2	Spectral Triple and Spectral Action .....	5
2.1	Spectral triples .....	5
2.2	Spectral action and Seeley-DeWitt expansion .....	6
2.3	Product triplet and exact decoupling .....	6
3	The Finite Algebra and Standard Model Structure .....	7
3.1	The five axioms .....	7
3.2	Classification theorem .....	7
3.3	The hypercharge trace .....	8
4	The Spectral Fixed-Point Equation .....	8
4.1	The spectral free-energy functional .....	8
4.2	Fixed-point theorem .....	9
4.3	The Koide relation as a fixed-point invariant .....	9
4.4	The QVG transcendental constant $x^* = 2.64782$ .....	10
5	Numerical Results at $N = 96$ .....	10
6	Gravity and the Cosmological Constant .....	10
6.1	The single force .....	10
6.2	Active degrees of freedom at $E_0$ .....	11
6.3	Derivation of $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$ .....	11
6.4	Quantum gravity .....	11
7	Derivation of $T_{\text{CMB}}$ from the Spectral Action .....	12
7.1	Two coupled thermal systems .....	12
7.2	The $a_4$ electromagnetic coefficient .....	12
7.3	Caldeira-Leggett identification .....	12
7.4	Temperature prediction .....	13
8	Act 3: Spectral Gravity from the Matrix Model .....	13
8.1	Step A: the measure $[dD_{\text{ext}}]$ .....	14
8.2	Step B: the Lorentzian signature .....	14
8.3	Step C: stability of the saddle-point .....	14
9	The Big Bounce from the Matrix Model .....	14
9.1	Why there is no singularity .....	14
9.2	Effective Friedmann equation .....	15
9.3	What crosses the bounce .....	15
10	Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy from the Matrix Model .....	15
10.1	Derivation of the area law .....	15
10.2	Physical content .....	15
11	Level 7(b): Uniqueness of $A_F$ - Five Theorems .....	16
11.1	T1 - $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ is necessary .....	16
11.2	T2 - A separate $M_2$ factor is necessary .....	16
11.3	T3 - The $\mathbb{C}$ factor is necessary (hypercharges) .....	16
11.4	T4 - KO-dim 6 selects $\mathbb{H}$ , not $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .....	16
11.5	T5 - $A_F$ is sufficient: $N_F = 96$ .....	17

11.6	Corollary: exhaustive classification .....	17
11.7	What remains for Level 7(c) .....	17
12	Derivation of $N_g = 3$ from the Jarlskog Invariant .....	17
12.1	The CP-violation constraint from $D_F$ .....	17
12.2	The BBN constraint .....	18
13	$F_{V_4}$ and the Global Lyapunov Attractor .....	18
14	Backreaction and the Structure of $G_N$ .....	18
14.1	The backreaction equation .....	18
14.2	Two free scales: an honest diagnostic .....	19
15	Programme 2: the Coupled Matrix Integral .....	19
16	Unification of the Four Fundamental Forces .....	19
16.1	One integral, four forces .....	19
16.2	Messengers of the four forces .....	20
16.3	Relations between the four forces .....	20
16.4	The Planck-QVG correspondence .....	21
16.5	Why gravity is weak .....	21
17	Open Frontiers .....	21
18	Falsifiable Predictions .....	22
19	Quantum Mechanics as Structure in QVG .....	23
19.1	What QVG postulates .....	24
19.2	Level 0: $H_F$ from the GNS theorem .....	24
19.3	Level 1: the Born rule from Gleason's theorem .....	24
19.4	Level 2: non-commutativity from $[D, a] \neq 0$ .....	24
19.5	Level 3: $H_{\text{ext}}$ and the geometry of $M$ (open) .....	25
19.6	Level 4: quantum measurement and spectral quantum gravity .....	25
19.7	Summary .....	25
20	Conclusion .....	26

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The unification problem

Modern physics rests on two individually successful but mutually incompatible frameworks. General relativity describes gravity through spacetime curvature:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{cosmo}} g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

The Standard Model (SM), based on gauge group  $G_{\text{SM}} = \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$ , describes three fundamental forces with precision reaching eleven significant figures. Yet gravity cannot be quantised as an ordinary gauge theory: the graviton propagator is non-renormalisable because  $G$  has negative mass dimension, making the coupling grow as  $(E/m_{\text{Pl}})^2$ . The naive QFT vacuum energy,  $\rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{QFT}} \sim m_{\text{Pl}}^4/16\pi^2 \approx 10^{74} \text{GeV}^4$ , exceeds the observed cosmological density  $\rho_{\Lambda} \approx 10^{-47} \text{GeV}^4$  by 121 orders of magnitude [15]. The SM also contains nineteen free parameters that must be measured and inserted; none is predicted by the theory.

## 1.2 Strategy

Noncommutative geometry (NCG), developed by Connes [3] and Chamseddine-Connes [4, 5], replaces the Riemannian manifold by a spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ . The central theorem [5]: five algebraic axioms C1-C5 force the finite algebra to be  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ , generating  $G_{\text{SM}}$ , three generations, and  $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8$  at the GUT scale.

The QVG programme adds the missing dynamical principle: a spectral free-energy functional  $\mathcal{F}_\rho[T]$  whose unique fixed point  $\rho^* = 1/N_F$  determines the Dirac operator  $D_F$  and hence all nineteen SM parameters from the maximum-entropy condition on the geometric vacuum.

## 2 Spectral Triple and Spectral Action

### 2.1 Spectral triples

Definition 2.1 (Spectral triple [3]). A spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  consists of a unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a self-adjoint operator  $D$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with compact resolvent  $(1 + D^2)^{-1}$ , such that  $[D, a]$  is bounded for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

For a compact Riemannian spin manifold  $(M, g)$ , the canonical triple is  $(C^\infty(M), L^2(M, S), \mathcal{D})$  where

$$D = -i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + \omega_\mu), \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

and the Connes distance recovers the geodesic metric:

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in \mathcal{A}, \|[D, f]\| \leq 1\} \quad (3)$$

A real structure  $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  with  $(J^2, JD, J\gamma) = (\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$  classifies the triple by KOdimension mod 8. For the Standard Model one needs KO -dimension 6:

$$J^2 = -1, \quad JD = DJ, \quad J\gamma = -\gamma J \quad (4)$$

### 2.2 Spectral action and Seeley-DeWitt expansion

Definition 2.2 (Spectral action [4]).

$$S_{\text{fond}} [D, \Lambda] = \text{Tr} [f (D^2/\Lambda^2)] \quad (5)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a positive rapidly decreasing cutoff function.

For large  $\Lambda$  the trace admits the asymptotic expansion [6]:

$$\text{Tr} [f (D^2/\Lambda^2)] = f_2 \Lambda^4 a_0 + f_0 \Lambda^2 a_2 + a_4 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2}) \quad (6)$$

with  $f_2 = \int_0^\infty f(u) du$ ,  $f_0 = f(0)$ . For  $D^2 = -(\nabla^2 + E)$  on a rank-  $N$  bundle over  $M^4$  [7, 6]:

$$a_0 = \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \text{Vol}(M), \quad (7)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_M \text{Tr} \left( E + \frac{R}{6} \right) \sqrt{g} d^4x \quad (8)$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} E^2 - \frac{R}{6} E + \frac{1}{12} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + (\text{pure curvature}) \right] \sqrt{g} d^4x,$$

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu} = [\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \quad G = \frac{3\pi}{f_2 N_F \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2}.$$

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} = ie F_{\mu\nu} \quad a_4$$

$$\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M) \otimes A_F, \quad \mathcal{H} = L^2(M, S) \otimes H_F, \quad D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F$$

$$K_{ij} = 0 \quad D^2 = D_{\text{ext}}^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes D_F^2$$

$$D^2$$

$$D^2 = D_{\text{ext}}^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \underbrace{\{D_{\text{ext}}, \gamma^5\}}_{=0} \otimes D_F + \mathbf{1} \otimes D_F^2$$

The cross-term vanishes because  $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$  satisfies  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^5\} = 0$  for every Dirac matrix, and  $D_{\text{ext}} = i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu + (\text{curvature})$  is linear in the  $\gamma^\mu$  :

$$\{D_{\text{ext}}, \gamma^5\} = i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 + \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) \nabla_\mu = i\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^5\} \nabla_\mu = 0. \quad (13)$$

This is an exact algebraic identity valid at all orders and for all background geometries.

Consequence. The cosmological sector (external,  $D_{\text{ext}}$ ) is exactly decoupled from the fermion sector (internal,  $D_F$ ). No fine-tuning is needed to maintain the hierarchy  $E_0 \ll m_W$ . By the Gilkey product formula [7]:

$$a_4(D^2) = a_4(D_{\text{ext}}^2) \cdot N_F + \dim \mathcal{H}_{\text{ext}} \cdot a_4(D_F^2) + a_2(D_{\text{ext}}^2) \cdot a_2(D_F^2) \quad (14)$$

## 3 The Finite Algebra and Standard Model Structure

### 3.1 The five axioms

Axiom 1 (C1-KO-dimension 6).  $J_F^2 = -1$ ,  $J_F D_F = D_F J_F$ ,  $J_F \gamma_F = -\gamma_F J_F$ .

Axiom 2 (C2-Order zero).  $[\pi(a), J_F \pi(b^*) J_F^*] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A_F$ .

Axiom 3 (C3-Order one).  $[[D_F, a], J_F b^* J_F^*] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A_F$ .

Axiom 4 (C4-Orientability).  $\exists \gamma_F : \gamma_F^2 = 1$ ,  $[\gamma_F, A_F] = 0$ ,  $\{\gamma_F, D_F\} = 0$ .

Axiom 5 (C5 - Finiteness).  $H_F$  is a finite-dimensional  $A_F$ -bimodule;  $D_F$  is self-adjoint.

### 3.2 Classification theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Chamseddine-Connes [5]). The most general finite-dimensional real  $C^*$  algebra satisfying C1-C5 with minimal Hilbert space dimension is

$$A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \quad (15)$$

Proof outline. Step 1. By C5 and the Artin-Wedderburn theorem,  $A_F \cong \bigoplus_k M_{n_k}(\Delta_k)$ ,  $\Delta_k \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$  (Frobenius). Step 2. KO-dimension 6 (C1) restricts  $H_F$  to a quaternionic bimodule, eliminating real summands. Step 3. Order conditions C2-C3 fix the bimodule structure: irreducible  $A_F$ -bimodules must accommodate 16 Weyl spinors per generation with the correct hypercharge assignments. Step 4. Minimality (smallest  $\dim H_F$  for three generations) selects (15). Full proof in [5].

The unitary group  $U(A_F) = U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(3)$ ; after unimodularity:

$$G_{\text{SM}} = U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3). \quad (16)$$

The minimal bimodule has  $N_F = 96$  modes ( $= 16 \times 3 \times 2$ : Weyl spinors  $\times$  generations  $\times$  particle/antiparticle), fixing three generations exactly.

### 3.3 The hypercharge trace

Proposition 3.2.  $\text{Tr}_F(Y^2) = 10$  exactly.

Proof. Direct computation. For one generation (particles):

Mode	$Y$	multiplicity $n$	$nY^2$
$(\nu_L, e_L)$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
$e_R$	-1	1	1
$\nu_R$	0	1	0
$(u_L, d_L)$	$+\frac{1}{6}$	6	$\frac{1}{6}$
$u_R$	$+\frac{2}{3}$	3	$\frac{4}{3}$
$d_R$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	3	$\frac{1}{3}$
Subtotal (1 gen., particles)			

Antiparticles contribute equally; with 3 generations:  $\text{Tr}_F(Y^2) = 2 \times 3 \times \frac{10}{3} = 10$ .

Similarly,  $\text{Tr}_F(T_3^2) = 12$  exactly. The trace formula gives:

$$\sin^2 \theta_W(\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}) = \frac{12}{12 + 20} = \frac{3}{8} = 0.37500 \quad (17)$$

where  $\text{Tr}_F(Y^2)_{\text{GUT}} = (5/3) \times 12 = 20$ . One-loop RGE verification: running PDG 2024 values from  $m_Z$  to  $\Lambda_{\text{GUT}} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{GeV}$  via

$$\alpha_i(\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}) = \frac{\alpha_i(m_Z)}{1 - \frac{b_i \alpha_i(m_Z)}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}}{m_Z}}, \quad (b_1, b_2, b_3) = \left( \frac{41}{10}, -\frac{19}{6}, -7 \right), \quad (18)$$

gives  $\sin^2 \theta_W(\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}) = 0.37569$ , agreeing with  $3/8$  to 0.18% (consistent with two-loop corrections  $\sim \alpha_s^2/\pi^2 \approx 0.1\%$ ).

## 4 The Spectral Fixed-Point Equation

### 4.1 The spectral free-energy functional

Axioms C1-C5 fix  $A_F$  and  $H_F$  but leave  $D_F$  arbitrary. The physical  $D_F$  is the fixed point of the following functional. Let  $T = D_F^\dagger D_F / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{N_F}$ . For  $\rho \in \Delta_{N_F}$  :

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho[T] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \rho_i \lambda_i + E_0 \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \rho_i \ln \rho_i \quad (19)$$

where  $E_0 = 3.2\text{meV}$ . For fixed  $T$ , the unique minimiser over  $\Delta_{N_F}$  is the Boltzmann distribution:

$$\rho_i^*[T] = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i/E_0}}{Z[T]}, \quad Z[T] = \sum_j e^{-\lambda_j/E_0} \quad (20)$$

### 4.2 Fixed-point theorem

The fixed-point condition is:

$$\rho^* = \rho^* [T^* (Y^* (\rho^*))] \quad (21)$$

where  $Y^*(\rho) = \text{argmin}_Y \mathcal{F}_\rho[T(Y)]$ .

Theorem 4.1 (Existence, characterisation, stability). Equation (21) has a fixed point

$$\rho_i^* = \frac{1}{N_F} = \frac{1}{96} \quad \forall i, \quad (22)$$

the maximum-entropy distribution with  $S[\rho^*] = \ln N_F$ . The Hessian at  $\rho^*$  satisfies  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \approx 0.00218 > 0$  (stable minimum). Physical observables (masses, mixing angles) are unique modulo  $U(3)^6$ .

Proof. Existence. The map  $\Phi : \rho \mapsto \rho^* [T^* (Y^*(\rho))]$  is a continuous self-map of the compact convex simplex  $\Delta_{N_F}$ . By the Brouwer fixed-point theorem,  $\Phi$  has a fixed point.

Characterisation. All fermion masses satisfy  $m_f \gg E_0$  (lightest:  $m_e = 0.511\text{MeV} \gg E_0 = 3.2\text{meV}$ ). Therefore:

$$\rho_i^* = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i/E_0}}{\sum_j e^{-\lambda_j/E_0}} = \frac{1}{N_F} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}}{E_0} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{E_0^2}\right) \right] \quad (23)$$

The correction is of order  $m_e^2 / (\Lambda_{\text{GUT}} E_0) \approx 10^{-21}$ , negligible to all physical precision. Hence  $\rho_i^* = 1/N_F$  to extraordinary accuracy.

Stability. The Hessian:

$$H_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \rho_i \partial \rho_j} \right|_{\rho^*} = E_0 N_F \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda/E_0) \quad (24)$$

since  $\partial^2 (\rho_i \ln \rho_i) / \partial \rho_i^2 = 1/\rho_i = N_F$  at  $\rho^*$ . Numerically,  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \approx 0.00218 > 0$ .

Numerical uniqueness. The E-step/M-step iteration was run from 8 independent Ginibre-ensemble initialisations and converged to the same observables within  $10^{-6}$ . The instanton barrier is  $\Delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{inst}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-6} > 0$  for all  $10^4$  sampled directions on  $U(3)^4$ .

### 4.3 The Koide relation as a fixed-point invariant

Proposition 4.2. At the fixed point, the charged lepton masses satisfy

$$K = \frac{m_e + m_\mu + m_\tau}{(\sqrt{m_e} + \sqrt{m_\mu} + \sqrt{m_\tau})^2} = \frac{2}{3} \pm 10^{-5} \quad (25)$$

This relation is not imposed; it emerges from the entropy-maximum structure of  $Y_e^*$ . QVG gives  $K = 0.666661$  vs.  $2/3 = 0.6\bar{6}$ .

### 4.4 The QVG transcendental constant $x^* = 2.64782$

The condition  $Z_{S^4}(x^*) = N_F$  with  $Z_{S^4}(x) = \sum_k \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{3} e^{-(k+2)/x}$  has the unique solution

$$x^* = 2.64782 \dots \quad (26)$$

derived from the spectrum of  $D$  on  $S^4$  and  $N_F = 96$  alone. This is a new transcendental constant of QVG, distinct from  $\sqrt{7}$  (discrepancy 0.08%) and  $e$  (2.6%). It predicts the natural radius  $R_{S^4} = x^* l_{\text{GUT}} = 2.6478 l_{\text{GUT}}$  of the internal space  $M_{\text{int}} = S^4$ .

## 5 Numerical Results at $N = 96$

All computations use [github.com/berjarry71/QVG](https://github.com/berjarry71/QVG) [18]. Masses:  $m_i = y_i^* \times 174 \text{ GeV}$ . The fixed-point distribution satisfies  $\text{std}(\rho^*) < 10^{-12}$ ,  $S[\rho^*] = \ln 96$  (exact); 8 independent initialisations converge identically.

The systematic  $-0.06\%$  offset is an  $\mathcal{O}(1/N_F)$  discretisation artifact; the charm quark  $+0.25\%$  reflects two-loop QCD running not yet implemented.

## 6 Gravity and the Cosmological Constant

### 6.1 The single force

All macroscopic forces are gradients of  $\mathcal{F}_\rho$  with respect to geometric variables. The Casimir pressure  $P = -\partial \mathcal{F} / \partial d = -\hbar c \pi^2 / (240 d^4)$  is recovered exactly from the mode

sum. Newton's law follows from the eigenvalue shift in a perturbed metric. The Einstein equations follow from varying  $S_{\text{fond}}$  with respect to  $g_{\mu\nu}$  (the  $a_2$

Table 1: Fermion masses: QVG vs. PDG 2024 [12].

Particle	QVG	PDG 2024	Discrepancy
$m_u$	2.159 MeV	2.160 MeV	-0.06%
$m_c$	1.273 GeV	1.270 GeV	+0.25%
$m_t$	172.59 GeV	172.76 GeV	-0.10%
$m_d$	4.667 MeV	4.670 MeV	-0.06%
$m_s$	93.34 MeV	93.40 MeV	-0.06%
$m_b$	4.178 GeV	4.180 GeV	-0.05%
$m_e$	0.5107 MeV	0.5110 MeV	-0.06%
$m_\mu$	105.60 MeV	105.66 MeV	-0.06%
$m_\tau$	1775.8 MeV	1776.9 MeV	-0.06%

Table 2: CKM matrix elements. Unitarity:  $\|V^\dagger V - \mathbf{1}\| < 10^{-15}$ .

Element	QVG	PDG 2024	Discrepancy
$ V_{ud} $	0.97397	0.97373	+0.02%
$ V_{us} $	0.22665	0.22526	+0.62%
$ V_{ub} $	0.00349	0.00361	-3.3%
$ V_{cs} $	0.97312	0.97349	-0.04%
$ V_{cb} $	0.04153	0.04053	+2.5%
$ V_{tb} $	0.99913	0.99914	< 0.01%

term gives the EinsteinHilbert action with  $G$  from (10)). The cosmological constant is the vacuum pressure gradient with respect to spacetime volume.

## 6.2 Active degrees of freedom at $E_0$

At  $E_0 = 3.2\text{meV}$ , all SM bosons except the photon are inactive:  $W^\pm, Z^0, H$  have masses  $\gg E_0$ ; gluons are confined at  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx 200\text{MeV}$ . Therefore  $g^* = 2$  (photon, two polarisations). This follows from the electroweak symmetry-breaking structure of  $A_F$ , not from a separate assumption.

## 6.3 Derivation of $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$

By Proposition 2.3, the vacuum energy density at  $T_{\text{vide}} = E_0/k_B$  is given by the StefanBoltzmann law for the total energy density of blackbody radiation:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = \frac{g^* \pi^2 E_0^4}{90(\hbar c)^3} = \frac{2\pi^2 (3.2\text{meV})^4}{90(\hbar c)^3} = 4.796 \times 10^{-10} \text{ J m}^{-3} \quad (27)$$

From (1):

$$\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \rho_{\text{vac}} = 0.996 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}. \quad (28)$$

Observed [13]:  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}^{\text{obs}} = 1.089 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$ . Agreement: 8.6%.  
The residual traces to  $E_0$ :  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}} \propto E_0^4$  implies  $4 \times 2.2\% = 8.7\%$  sensitivity.  
The cosmological constant problem is resolved:  $\rho_{\text{vac}} \propto E_0^4$  is small because  $E_0 \ll m_{\text{Pl}}$  (thermal, not UV-divergent).

## 6.4 Quantum gravity

The path integral  $Z = \int \mathcal{D}[D] \exp(-S_{\text{fond}}/\hbar)$  is UV-finite:  $f$  suppresses  $|\lambda| > \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2$ . The saddle-point expansion  $D = D_0 + \sqrt{\hbar}\eta$  with kinetic operator  $K_{mn} = f''(\lambda_m/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2) \delta_{mn}/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2 > 0$  gives the Euclidean propagator:

$$G_E(r) = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2}{16\pi^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2 r^2}{4}\right) \quad (29)$$

$G_E(0) = \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2/16\pi^2$  is finite (vs. standard graviton  $\sim 1/r^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ). The quantumcorrected Einstein equations are:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{cosmo}} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha_g}{\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2} R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_g}{\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2} R g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (30)$$

with exact Seeley-DeWitt coefficients [6]:  $\alpha_g = -N/(48\pi^2) = -0.00844$ ,  $\beta_g = +N/(96\pi^2) = +0.00422$ . These corrections are negligible at all astrophysical scales ( $\delta G/G \sim |\alpha_g| R/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2 \lesssim 10^{-41}$  for neutron stars) and regularise singularities at  $r_{\text{min}} \sim 10^{-32} \text{ m}$ .

## 7 Derivation of $T_{\text{CMB}}$ from the Spectral Action

### 7.1 Two coupled thermal systems

The QVG universe contains two weakly coupled thermal subsystems: the geometric vacuum at  $T_{\text{vide}} = E_0/k_B = 37.12 \text{ K}$  and the CMB photon bath at  $T_{\text{CMB}}$ , coupled via the EM sector of  $S_{\text{fond}}$  with  $\eta = \alpha \text{Tr}_F(Y^2) = 10/137 \approx 0.073 \ll 1$ .

### 7.2 The $a_4$ electromagnetic coefficient

From (9) with the EM curvature  $\Omega_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} = ieF_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{spin}} [\Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu}] = -4e^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (31)$$

$$a_4^{\text{EM}} = -\frac{\text{Tr}_F(Y^2) \alpha}{12\pi} \int F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{g} d^4x, \quad (32)$$

where  $\text{Tr}_F(Y^2)$  replaces  $N_F$  because the photon couples to mode  $i$  with weight  $Y_i^2$  (not uniformly). The coefficient is  $c_{\text{EM}} = 10\alpha/(12\pi) = 1.94 \times 10^{-3}$ .



## 8 Act 3: Spectral Gravity from the Matrix Model

$$S[D_{\text{ext}}] = \text{Tr} [f(D_{\text{ext}}^2 / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)]$$

$$Z = \int [dD_{\text{ext}}] \exp(+ \text{Tr} [f(D_{\text{ext}}^2 / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)] / \hbar)$$

$D_{\text{ext}}$

### 8.1 Step A: the measure $[dD_{\text{ext}}]$

The spectral truncation at  $\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$  reduces the infinite-dimensional integration to a finitedimensional one:  $D_{\text{ext}}$  becomes a Hermitian  $N_F \times N_F$  matrix with eigenvalues  $|\lambda_i| < \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$ . The natural measure is the GUE measure

$$[dD_{\text{ext}}] = dU d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{N_F} |\Delta(\lambda)|^2 \quad (39)$$

where  $dU$  is the Haar measure on  $U(N_F)$  and  $|\Delta(\lambda)|^2$  is the Vandermonde repulsion. The saddle-point equation  $g(x^*) = 1$  with  $g(x) = \pi x e^{-x} I_0(x)$  has the unique solution  $x^* = 0.4896$ , giving a spectral support  $a = 0.9895 \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$ .

### 8.2 Step B: the Lorentzian signature

The spectral action  $\text{Tr} [f(D_L^2 / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)]$  vanishes identically on de Sitter<sub>4</sub> because the  $\pm \lambda_n$  pairs cancel [19]. Using the Barrett prescription  $|D_L|$ , one obtains  $S_L^{\text{Barrett}} = \text{Tr} [f(D_E^2 / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)]$ : the Lorentzian action equals the Euclidean action. The Obstacle B is therefore not a barrier to the semi-classical physics already derived in Act 2.

### 8.3 Step C: stability of the saddle-point

With the dual convention  $\tilde{S} = -S$ , the Hessian  $\delta^2 \tilde{S} / \delta D^2 > 0$ , confirming  $D_{\text{ext}}$  as a stable minimum. The 't Hooft topological expansion gives

$$Z = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N_F^{-2g} F_g(\lambda_{\text{tH}}) \quad (40)$$

where  $g = 0$  (sphere) carries 99.989% of the weight for  $N_F = 96$ . Act 2 is the planar ( $g = 0$ ) limit of Act 3.

## 9 The Big Bounce from the Matrix Model

### 9.1 Why there is no singularity

In QVG, the geometry is a matrix configuration. Classical contraction toward  $a \rightarrow 0$  corresponds to  $D_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow 0$ . At this point

$$\exp(\text{Tr}[f(0)]) = \exp(N_F) = e^{96} \quad (41)$$

which is finite.  $D = 0$  is a regular point of the configuration space; the path integral measure remains finite. There is no singularity.

## 9.2 Effective Friedmann equation

The matrix model generates a quantum correction to the Friedmann equation:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c} \right), \quad (42)$$

with critical density

$$\rho_c = \frac{N_F \hbar c}{L_{T^4}^4} \simeq 8.9 \times 10^{95} \text{ J/m}^3 \quad (43)$$

Equation (42) is structurally identical to Loop Quantum Cosmology [20], but derived here without loop quantisation, with no free parameter (compare LQC which requires the Immirzi parameter  $\gamma = 0.2375$ ).

## 9.3 What crosses the bounce

Because  $D = 0$  is regular, the modular flow  $\sigma_t$  of Tomita-Takesaki is continuous through the bounce [3]. Quantum fluctuations of  $D$  propagate from the pre-bounce to the postbounce universe, leaving an imprint on the CMB at large scales  $\ell < 30$ : a suppression of power and specific non-Gaussian patterns, testable with LiteBIRD and CMB-S4.

# 10 Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy from the Matrix Model

## 10.1 Derivation of the area law

The horizon  $S^2$  of a black hole of mass  $M$  hosts a tower of Dirac modes  $\lambda_l = (l+1)\hbar c/r_S$  with degeneracy  $d_l = 4(l+1)$ . With spectral cut-off at  $l_{P1}$ , the Shannon entropy of the Fermi spectral state  $p_l = e^{-\lambda_l^2/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2} / (1 + e^{-\lambda_l^2/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2})$  sums to

$$S_{BH}^{\text{QVG}} = \underbrace{\frac{N_{\text{bosons}}^{-1} N_F}{1}}_{=8} \times \frac{A}{4l_{P1}^2} \quad (44)$$

where  $N_{\text{bosons}} = \dim(\text{adj } G_{\text{SM}}) = 8 + 3 + 1 = 12$  is the number of gauge bosons and  $N_F/N_{\text{bosons}} = 96/12 = 8$ . The normalisation constant  $C_{\text{norm}} = I_\infty/\pi^2 = 1/12$  follows from the exact integral  $I_\infty = \int_0^\infty xs (e^{-x^2}) dx = \pi^2/12$ .

## 10.2 Physical content

Each Planck cell  $l_{\text{Pl}}^2$  on the horizon encodes  $N_F = 96$  internal states, counted in units of gauge degrees of freedom ( $N_{\text{bosons}} = 12$ ). The resulting factor of 8 predicts:

- Black-hole evaporation  $8\times$  slower than the Hawking standard;
- A minimal remnant at  $M_{\text{min}}$  with  $S(M_{\text{min}}) = k_B \ln 96 = S_{\text{bounce}}$  ;
- The same entropy  $k_B \ln(N_F)$  appearing at the Big Bounce and at the Planck remnant is not a coincidence: both correspond to  $D = 0$  in the matrix model.

## 11 Level 7(b): Uniqueness of $A_F$ - Five Theorems

The central result of the QVG programme at Level 7(b) is:

Theorem 11.1 (Uniqueness of  $A_F$ ).  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  is the unique minimal finitedimensional  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying axioms C1-C5.

The proof proceeds by exhaustive elimination over all  $C^*$ -algebras of dimension  $\leq 14$ , in five chained theorems.

### 11.1 T1 – $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ is necessary

$SU(3)_c$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Its observable algebra is  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^3) = M_3(\mathbb{C})$  in full ( $\dim = 9$ ). No proper subalgebra of  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  is stable under  $\text{Ad}(SU(3))$ ; in particular,  $\text{diag}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  is not closed under  $SU(3)$  conjugation.

### 11.2 T2 - A separate $M_2$ factor is necessary

$SU(2)_L$  commutes with  $SU(3)_c$  in  $G_{\text{SM}} : [SU(2)_L, SU(3)_c] = 0$ . Their algebras must therefore be independent summands.  $A_F$  must contain  $M_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_2$  for some 4-dimensional factor  $M_2$ .

### 11.3 T3 - The $\mathbb{C}$ factor is necessary (hypercharges)

The hypercharges of the SM fermions are  $Y \in \{+\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}, +\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1\}$ . These values are not representations of  $SU(2)$  or  $SU(3)$  (whose irreps have integer or half-integer weights). In particular  $Y = 1/6, 2/3, -1/3$  require an independent  $U(1)$  generator. The algebra  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  alone cannot encode them: a  $\mathbb{C}$  summand (or equivalently a  $U(1)$  factor) is necessary. One verifies that  $6Y \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all SM fermions, confirming that  $U(1)_Y$  is the correct abelian structure.

### 11.4 T4-KO-dim 6 selects $\mathbb{H}$ , not $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

Both  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  have real dimension 4. The distinction is the KO -dimension of the spectral triple. KO-dim = 6 (required by the product structure  $\mathcal{A} \otimes A_F$  with spacetime KO-dim = 6, see Section 2) imposes  $J^2 = +1$  on  $H_F$ . For  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  with complex conjugation,  $J^2 = -1$  (KO-dim = 2 or 10 ), and the first-order condition  $[[D, a], b^\circ] = 0$  fails. For  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $J^2 = +1$  and the first-order condition is satisfied. Hence  $\mathbb{H}$  is selected over  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

### 11.5 T5 – $A_F$ is sufficient: $N_F = 96$

$A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  generates exactly  $N_F = 96$  fermionic modes via the representation

$$H_F = (\mathbb{C}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes 3 \text{ gen} \times 2(p/ap)} \quad (45)$$

Per generation:  $6 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 1 = 16$  modes; total  $16 \times 3 \times 2 = 96 = N_F$ . The adjoint representation gives all 12 gauge bosons (  $1 + 3 + 8$  ) and the Higgs sector. No representation is missing; no extra representation is generated.

### 11.6 Corollary: exhaustive classification

Table 3 shows the result of the exhaustive scan over all  $C^*$ -algebras of dimension  $\leq 14$ .

Table 3:  $C^*$ -algebras of dimension  $\leq 14$  and the five axioms.

Algebra	dim	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	Status
$M_3(\mathbb{C})$	9	✓					
$\mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$	13	✓	✓		✓		
$M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$	13	✓	✓				
$\mathbb{C} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$	10	✓		✓			
$\mathbb{C} \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3$	14	✓	✓	✓	×( T4 )		
$\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$	14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Unique

$A_F$  is the only algebra of dimension  $\leq 14$  satisfying all five conditions. No algebra of dimension  $\leq 13$  reaches T5; algebras of dimension  $\geq 15$  violate minimality.  $\dim(A_F) = 14$  is derived, not postulated.

### 11.7 What remains for Level 7(c)

The five axioms C1-C5 themselves are not derived in this work. In particular, three inputs to T4 are not yet derived from a deeper principle: (i) KO-dim = 6; (ii) spacetime dimension  $d = 4$ ; (iii) three fermion generations. Their derivation belongs to Level 7(c), which awaits the completion of the  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{total}}(A, \rho)$  fixed-point programme (Programme 1).

## 12 Derivation of $N_g = 3$ from the Jarlskog Invariant

### 12.1 The CP-violation constraint from $D_F$

The result  $K_{ij} = 0$  (Proposition 2.3) forces all CP-violating phases into  $D_F$ . For  $N_g$  generations, the CKM matrix  $V_{\text{CKM}}$  is  $N_g \times N_g$  unitary with  $(N_g - 1)(N_g - 2)/2$  independent complex phases. The Jarlskog invariant satisfies

$$J_{CP}(N_g < 3) = 0, \quad J_{\text{max}}(3) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.0962 \quad (46)$$

the latter being exact, attained by the DFT<sub>3</sub> matrix  $V_{jk} = e^{2\pi ijk/3}/\sqrt{3}$ . Proposition 12.1 (Jarlskog maximum strictly decreasing). For  $N \geq 3$ :  $J_{\text{max}}(N+1) < J_{\text{max}}(N)$ .

Proof. Given  $V \in U(N)$  with  $|J(V)| = J_{\text{max}}(N)$ , embed into  $U(N+1)$  via  $V'_{ij} = V_{ij}\sqrt{N/(N+1)}$  for  $i, j \leq N$ . Then  $J(V') = J(V) \cdot (N/(N+1))^2$ , so  $J_{\text{max}}(N+1) \leq J_{\text{max}}(N) \cdot (N/(N+1))^2 < J_{\text{max}}(N)$ .

### 12.2 The BBN constraint

Planck 2018 gives  $N_\nu < 3.3$  at 95% confidence, implying  $N_g \leq 3$ . Theorem 12.2 ( $N_g = 3$ ). The unique integer satisfying (i)  $J_{CP}(N_g) \neq 0$  and (ii)  $N_\nu \leq 3.3$  is  $N_g = 3$ .

## 13 $F_{V_4}$ and the Global Lyapunov Attractor

Extending  $F_{V_3}$  to include CP and BBN constraints:

$$F_{V_4}(A, N_g) = F_{V_3}(A) - \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \Theta(J_{\text{max}}(N_g) > 0) + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \max(0, N_g - 3) \quad (47)$$

The coefficients  $\nu = \kappa = 1/(6\sqrt{3})$  are not adjusted: they equal  $J_{\text{max}}(3)$ , the unique value that creates a barrier of equal height on both sides of  $N_g = 3$ .

The Lyapunov function  $V(N_g) = F_{V_4}(N_g) - F_{V_4}(3) \geq 0$  for all  $N_g$ , with  $V(3) = 0$  and  $dV/dt = -|\nabla F_{V_4}|^2 \leq 0$ . Hence  $(A_F, N_g = 3)$  with  $F_{V_4} = -0.364$  is the global attractor of the renormalisation group flow on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## 14 Backreaction and the Structure of $G_N$

### 14.1 The backreaction equation

Since  $D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{H_F} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F$ , varying the full spectral action with respect to  $D_{\text{ext}}$  gives:

$$\frac{\delta S[D_{\text{ext}}]}{\delta D_{\text{ext}}(x)} = \frac{1}{N_F} K(D_{\text{ext}}, x) \cdot \frac{\text{Tr}_{H_F}[D_F^2]}{\Lambda_{\text{CC}}^2} \quad (48)$$

where  $K$  is the local spectral kernel. In the IR limit this gives:

$$G_N = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar c}{N_F \Lambda_{CC}^2} \quad (49)$$

The factor  $N_F = 96$  from  $A_F$  makes this a non-circular structural prediction. The quantum correction reads

$$G_N(r) = G_N \left[ 1 + 6 (l_{CC}/r)^2 + \mathcal{O}(l_{CC}^4/r^4) \right] \quad (50)$$

with  $\eta = f_4/f_2 = 6$  for  $f(x) = e^{-x}$ , fully determined.

## 14.2 Two free scales: an honest diagnostic

Four Big Bounce conditions were tested as candidates to fix  $M_{P1}/E_0$  : critical density, entropy continuity, modular time periodicity, and Hawking temperature matching. All four fail. QVG therefore has two independent free scales:

Scale	Nature	Source	Status
$E_0 \simeq 3.2\text{meV}$	IR, spectral	Measured (0.8%)	Free input
$M_{P1}$	UV, gravitational	Measured via $G_N$	Free input
$E_0/M_{P1} = 2.6 \times 10^{-31}$	Adimensional	Not predicted	Programme 2

Table 4: Two independent free scales of QVG. All 19 SM dimensionless parameters and cosmological ratios are derived from these two plus C1-C5.

## 15 Programme 2: the Coupled Matrix Integral

Programme 1 treats  $Z_N [D_{\text{ext}}]$  with  $D_F$  fixed. Programme 2 is the fully coupled integral:

$$Z_N [D_{\text{ext}}, D_F] = \int [dD_{\text{ext}}] [dD_F] e^{S[D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F]/\hbar} \quad (51)$$

with coupling  $S_{\text{coupling}} \sim \Lambda_{CC}^{-2} \text{Tr} [D_{\text{ext}}^2 \otimes D_F^2]$ . The simultaneous saddle-point conditions  $\delta Z_N / \delta D_{\text{ext}} = 0$  and  $\delta Z_N / \delta D_F = 0$ , with UV boundary  $D_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow D_{\text{dS}}(\Lambda_{CC})$  and IR condition  $\rho^* = e^{-D_F^2/E_0}/Z_F$ , could fix  $E_0/M_{P1}$  from C1-C5. Programme 1 is the immediate prerequisite. If Programme 2 succeeds, the observable universe is a theorem. If not, the second dimensional input is irreducible - which is also a definitive result.

## 16 Unification of the Four Fundamental Forces

### 16.1 One integral, four forces

The central claim of QVG is that all four fundamental interactions are aspects of a single spectral integral:

$$Z[D] = \int [dD] \exp(\text{Tr}[f(D^2/\Lambda^2)]), \quad D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{H_F} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F \quad (52)$$

with  $f(x) = e^{-x}$  (thermal kernel). The three Standard Model forces reside in  $D_F$  through the algebra  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Gravity resides in  $D_{\text{ext}}$  as depletion of the spectral vacuum. No additional structure is postulated.

### 16.2 Messengers of the four forces

Each force has a massless messenger that is the residue of a  $1/q^2$  pole in the corresponding propagator.

Force	Messenger	Mass	Origin in $D$	Coupling
Electromagnetism	Photon $\gamma$	0	$U(1) \subset A_F$	$e^2$
Weak nuclear	$W^\pm, Z^0$	$\neq 0$	$\mathbb{H} \subset A_F$	$g^2/\sin^2 \theta_W$
Strong nuclear	Gluons ( $\times 8$ )	0	$M_3(\mathbb{C}) \subset A_F$	$g_s^2$
Gravity	Graviton	0	$\lambda \rightarrow 0$ modes of $D_{\text{ext}}$	$\hbar c/(N_F \Lambda^2)$

Table 5: The four force-carriers in QVG. The three SM messengers are poles of the  $D_F$  propagator; the graviton is the pole at  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  of the spectral depletion propagator of  $D_{\text{ext}}$ .

The graviton is qualitatively different from the SM messengers. A photon is an excitation of the electromagnetic field. A graviton is a depletion of the spectral vacuum: the presence of a mass  $M$  suppresses nearby spectral modes of  $D_{\text{ext}}$ , and a second mass falls toward this deficit. The exact coupling formula  $S[D_{\text{total}}] = \sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i)$  (Equation ??) encodes this depletion precisely.

### 16.3 Relations between the four forces

The unification is expressed through four relations, all derived from the axioms C1-C5 without free parameters:

(U1) Electroweak mixing angle.  $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8$ , derived from the representation theory of  $A_F$  (Section 11). This fixes the relative strength of electromagnetism and the weak force.

(U2) Gravity coupled to matter via  $N_F$ .

$$G_N = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar c}{N_F \Lambda^2}, \quad N_F = 96 \quad (53)$$

$N_F = 96$  is the fermion count of  $A_F$  - the same object that encodes the three SM forces. If the SM algebra were different,  $N_F$  would differ and  $G_N$  would differ accordingly. Gravity is therefore not independent of the SM forces: its strength is set by their fermionic content.

(U3) Black-hole entropy and the SM spectrum.

$$S_{BH} = 8 \times \frac{A}{4l_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad 8 = \frac{N_F}{N_{\text{bosons}}} \quad (54)$$

where  $N_{\text{bosons}}$  counts the bosonic degrees of freedom of  $A_F$ . The factor 8 connecting gravitational thermodynamics to the SM particle content is derived, not postulated.

(U4) Exact gravity-matter coupling.

$$S[D_{\text{total}}] = \sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i), \quad Z_F(\lambda) = \sum_a e^{-(\mu_a + \lambda)^2 / \Lambda^2}. \quad (55)$$

Every geometric mode  $\lambda_i$  of  $D_{\text{ext}}$  couples simultaneously to all fermion masses  $\mu_a$  of  $D_F$ . This is the exact, non-perturbative gravitational coupling between geometry and matter.

## 16.4 The Planck-QVG correspondence

The unification has a precise thermodynamic interpretation. Planck (1900) found that the vacuum electromagnetic field is discrete:  $E = n\hbar\omega$ , with  $\hbar$  fixing the action quantum. QVG finds that the vacuum geometric field is discrete: the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of  $D_{\text{ext}}$  are the quanta of geometry, with  $E_0$  fixing the geometric temperature.

Quantity	Planck (1900)	QVG
Mode	frequency $\omega$	eigenvalue $\lambda_n$ of $D$
Quantum	$\hbar\omega$	grain $e^{-\lambda_n^2/E_0}$
Partition function	$\sum e^{-n\hbar\omega/kT}$	$\sum e^{-\lambda^2/E_0}$
Equilibrium condition	$Z(kT) = N_{\text{modes}}$	$Z_{S^4}(E_0) = N_F = 96$
Messenger	photon (excitation)	graviton (depletion)
Coupling constant	$e^2$	$G_N = 2\pi^2\hbar c / (N_F\Lambda^2)$

Table 6: The Planck-QVG correspondence.  $\hbar$  discretises the action of fields on a fixed background.  $E_0$  discretises the background geometry itself.  $G_N$  is the coupling between the two discretisations.

The key difference: Planck postulated discretisation for a continuous field. In QVG the eigenvalues of  $D_{\text{ext}}$  are discrete from the start - discretisation is not imposed but is the intrinsic structure of spectral geometry.

## 16.5 Why gravity is weak

Equation (U2) gives the ratio of gravitational to electromagnetic coupling:

$$\frac{G_N m_e^2}{\hbar c e^2} = \frac{2\pi^2}{N_F} \times \frac{m_e^2}{\Lambda^2 \alpha_{\text{em}}} \approx 10^{-45} \quad (56)$$

Gravity is weak because it is diluted over  $N_F = 96$  spectral modes, whereas electromagnetism couples through a single  $U(1)$  mode. The hierarchy is not a coincidence or a fine-tuning: it is the ratio  $1/N_F$  times the ratio of mass scales.

## 17 Open Frontiers

Loop degeneracy (structural). The three equations relating  $\{E_0, R_H, \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}, \Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}\}$  form an underdetermined system: the loop  $R_H \leftrightarrow E_0 \leftrightarrow \Lambda_{\text{cosmo}} \leftrightarrow R_H$  is satisfied for any value of  $E_0$ . A fourth independent equation is needed to select  $E_0 = 3.2\text{meV}$  uniquely. The closure condition

$$\frac{4\pi^3 g^*}{45N_F} = 1 \quad \implies \quad g^* = \frac{45N_F}{4\pi^3} = 34.83 \approx \frac{N_F}{e}, \quad (57)$$

(to 1.4%) points to the QCD deconfinement transition as the selecting mechanism:  $g^* = 34.83$  lies exactly between the confined ( $g^* = 17.25$ ) and deconfined ( $g^* = 61.75$ ) phases of QCD at  $T_{\text{QCD}} \sim 150\text{MeV}$ . The factor  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  in  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ , which encodes the colour force, may be the reason the universe has the size it has. This is the deepest open problem of the programme.

F1-bis (Spectral temperature). Derive  $E_0 = 3.2\text{meV}$  from:

$$Z_{\text{ext}}(E_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{\text{ext}}} e^{-\lambda_n^{\text{ext}}/E_0} = N_F = 96 \quad (58)$$

where  $\{\lambda_n^{\text{ext}}\}$  are the eigenvalues of  $D_{\text{ext}}^2 / \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2$  on the compact physical spacetime  $M$ . This is a transcendental equation on the discrete spectrum of  $D_{\text{ext}}$  on  $M$ ; for a flat fourtorus it reduces to a lattice sum. Closure simultaneously brings the  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$  residual from 8.6% to  $< 0.1\%$  and the  $T_{\text{CMB}}$  residual from 0.6% to  $< 0.01\%$ .

F2 (Analytical uniqueness). Prove that  $\mathcal{F}_\rho[T(Y)]$  has a unique critical point of Morse index 0 on  $U(3)^4$ . Numerical evidence: 8 independent convergences;  $\Delta\mathcal{F}_{\text{inst}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-6} > 0$  for  $10^4$  sampled directions. Requires equivariant Morse theory [16] on  $U(3)^4$  ( $\chi(U(3)^4) = 0$  constrains the critical-point structure via  $\sum_k (-1)^k c_k = 0$ ).

F5 (Newton's constant). Derive  $G = 3\pi / (f_2 N_F \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)$  from the spectral geometry without using  $G$  as a measured input. Requires computing  $f_2$  from the external mode density, which is downstream of F1-bis.

Kubo ( $12\pi$  normalisation). Derive the factor  $12\pi$  in (34) from the retarded Green function of the EM current  $J^\mu$  in the product triplet. Specifically, compute the Drude weight

$$\Gamma_{\text{Drude}} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Im}[\chi_{EM}(\omega)]}{\pi\omega} \quad (59)$$

using OPE techniques and verify  $\Gamma_{\text{Drude}} = \text{Tr}_F(Y^2) \times \alpha$ . This is a one-loop calculation in the linear response theory of  $S_{\text{fond}}$  [10].

## 18 Falsifiable Predictions

P1 - Dark energy EOS.  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$  is the static pressure of the photon gas at fixed  $E_0$ :  $w = -1$  exactly. DESI DR2 [14], published March 2025 using three years of data covering 15 million galaxies, strengthened the preference for evolving dark energy to  $2.8 - 4.2\sigma$  (depending on data combination), up from  $2.5\sigma$  in DR1. This is below the  $5\sigma$  discovery threshold but represents a growing challenge to the QVG prediction. Confirmation of  $w \neq -1$  at  $5\sigma$  by DESI DR3 + Euclid (2026-2027) would falsify the QVG cosmological sector.

Table 7: Falsifiable predictions of the QVG programme.

Prediction	QVG	Observed	Experiment
Dark energy $w$	-1 exact	DESI DR2: $2.8 - 4.2\sigma$	DESI DR3 + Euclid, 2026-27
$T_{\text{CMB}}$	2.709 K	2.725 K (0.6%)	Next-gen spectroscopy
$\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$	$2.00(5) 10^{16}\text{GeV}$	$\times 2(1) \times 10^{16}\text{GeV}$	FCC-ee, ~2040
$d_n$ (nEDM)	$< 10^{-32}e \text{ m}$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-26}$	PSI/SNS, 2030

P2.  $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.709 \text{ K}$ ; the CMB spectrum should be a perfect blackbody with no  $\mu$  - or  $y$ -type distortions above  $|\delta n/n| \sim \eta^2 \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}$ .

P3. FCC-ee will constrain  $\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$  to  $\pm 1\%$ , testing the spectral unification identification.

P4. No new CP-violating phases beyond CKM:  $d_n < 10^{-32}e \text{ m}$ . Observation of  $d_n > 10^{-28}e \text{ m}$  would be inconsistent.

P5 - Big Bounce. CMB power suppression at  $\ell < 30$  with amplitude  $\delta C_\ell/C_\ell \sim 10^{-2}$ ; testable with LiteBIRD (2032) and CMB-S4 (2035).

P6 - Black-hole entropy.  $S_{BH}^{\text{QVG}} = 8 \times A/4l_{\text{Pl}}^2$ , predicting Hawking evaporation  $8\times$  slower and a Planck remnant at  $M_{\text{min}} \simeq 106\mu \text{ g}$ .

P7 - 't Hooft topological corrections. Logarithmic correction  $\delta S = \frac{1}{6} \ln(A/4l_{\text{Pl}}^2)$  from the torus ( $g = 1$ ) topology, with coefficient fixed by  $\chi(S^2) = 2$ .

Definitive falsifiers. (a)  $w \neq -1$  at  $5\sigma$ ; (b)  $d_n > 10^{-28}e \text{ m}$ ; (c) gauge couplings not unifying; (d) discovery of a fourth fermion generation.

## 19 Quantum Mechanics as Structure in QVG

The QVG programme works within the framework of quantum mechanics but does not derive it from scratch. This section shows that the situation is better

than it appears: two of the five standard postulates of quantum mechanics are already resolved within the algebraic framework, and a third is partially constrained. The genuinely open problems are identified precisely.

## 19.1 What QVG postulates

The programme postulates: (i) the Hilbert space  $H_F$ ; (ii) the external Hilbert space  $H_{\text{ext}} = L^2(M, S)$ ; (iii) the Born rule; (iv) canonical commutation relations  $[Q, P] = i\hbar$ ; (v) the product structure  $\mathcal{H} = H_{\text{ext}} \otimes H_F$ . We show that (i) and (iii) are theorems, not postulates.

## 19.2 Level 0: $H_F$ from the GNS theorem

Proposition 19.1 (GNS construction of  $H_F$ ). The internal Hilbert space  $H_F$  is not a postulate of QVG. It is the unique (up to isomorphism) GNS representation of the pair  $(A_F, \rho^*)$ , where  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  is fixed by axioms C1 – C5 and  $\rho^* = \mathbf{1}/N_F$  is the fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}_\rho$  derived in Section 4.

Proof sketch. The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal theorem [1] states: given a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and a state  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a unique Hilbert space  $H_\omega$ , a representation  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_\omega)$ , and a cyclic vector  $\Omega$  such that  $\omega(a) = \langle \Omega | \pi(a) | \Omega \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Setting  $\mathcal{A} = A_F$  (fixed by C1 – C5) and  $\omega = \rho^*$  (the tracial state  $\rho^*(a) = N_F^{-1} \text{Tr}(a)$ , derived as the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ ), the GNS construction yields  $H_F$  as the completion of  $A_F$  under the inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = \rho^*(a^*b)$ , with  $\pi(a)(b) = ab$  and  $\Omega = \mathbf{1}/\sqrt{N_F}$ . Since both  $A_F$  and  $\rho^*$  are determined by C1 – C5, so is  $H_F$ .

This result is implicit in the Chamseddine-Connes-Marcolli framework [

$$\begin{array}{rcc}
 \dim H_F = 96 & & \\
 H_F & & \\
 \text{Pr}(P) = \text{Tr}(\rho P) & & \\
 \lambda = \text{Tr}(\rho P \lambda) & \dim H_F = 96 & \\
 \mathbb{C} \quad A_F \quad \dim H_F \begin{array}{l} \geq 3 \\ = 96 \geq 3 \end{array} & [D, a] \neq 0 & \\
 A_F & & \\
 \mathbb{C} & & 
 \end{array}$$

If  $[D, a] = 0$  for all  $a$ , then  $D$  is central, the geometry is commutative, and there is no non-trivial dynamics. The condition  $[D_F, a] \neq 0$  for generic  $a \in A_F$  is a consequence of the axiom C3 (first-order condition) and implies  $[Q, P] \neq 0$  structurally. The specific value  $\hbar$  is the normalisation unit of  $D$  in the spectral action  $S = \text{Tr} [f(D^2/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)]$ ; in dimensionless units it reduces to  $\hbar = M_{\text{Pl}}^{-1}$ , the sole remaining dimensional input consistent with the Buckingham  $\pi$ -theorem (Section 17).

### 19.5 Level 3: $H_{\text{ext}}$ and the geometry of $M$ (open)

The external Hilbert space  $H_{\text{ext}} = L^2(M, S)$  is equivalent to specifying the spacetime manifold  $M$ . In the spectral framework,  $M = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}})$ , so deriving  $H_{\text{ext}}$  requires deriving the external algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}$ . This is precisely Frontier F1-bis: the self-consistency condition  $Z_{\text{ext}}(E_0) = N_F = 96$  determines  $M$  (and hence  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}$  and  $H_{\text{ext}}$ ) once  $E_0$  is known, while  $E_0$  is itself determined by the geometry of  $M$ . Closing this loop is equivalent to resolving F1-bis simultaneously in both languages.

### 19.6 Level 4: quantum measurement and spectral quantum gravity

The deepest open problem is the quantum measurement process. In standard quantum mechanics, measurement equals the collapse of the wave function. In QVG, measurement corresponds to the interaction between the system and the external Dirac operator  $D_{\text{ext}}$ . The difficulty is that  $D_{\text{ext}}$  is currently classical (fixed geometry with no back-reaction). A complete quantum theory requires promoting  $D_{\text{ext}}$  to a quantum operator:

$$D_{\text{ext}} \longrightarrow \hat{D}_{\text{ext}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}) \quad (61)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}$  is a Hilbert space of geometries. This is the spectral quantum gravity programme, in which the path integral  $Z = \int \mathcal{D}[D] \exp(-\text{Tr}[f(D^2/\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}^2)])/\hbar$  is computed over all admissible Dirac operators. This integral is UV-finite in QVG (the spectral cutoff  $f$  suppresses all modes above  $\Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$ ), making spectral quantum gravity a well-defined extension of the present programme. It is, however, beyond the scope of QVG as currently formulated.

### 19.7 Summary

Table 8 summarises the status of each quantum-mechanical postulate within the QVG framework.

Level	Problem	Tool	Status
0	$H_F$ not postulated	GNS theorem	Resolved
1	Born rule	Gleason's theorem	Resolved
2	$[Q, P] \neq 0$	Spectral distance	Partial ( $\hbar = M_{\text{Pl}}$ )
3	$H_{\text{ext}} = L^2(M, S)$	F1-bis	Open
4	Quantum measurement	Spectral QG	Programme boundary

Table 8: Status of quantum-mechanical postulates in QVG. Levels 0 and 1 are theorems within the existing framework. Level 3 is equivalent to Frontier F1-bis. Level 4 defines the boundary of QVG and the starting point of spectral quantum gravity.

## 20 Conclusion

The QVG programme unifies the four fundamental forces - electromagnetism, the weak and strong nuclear forces, and gravity - within a single spectral integral:

$$Z[D] = \int [dD] \exp(\text{Tr}[f(D^2/\Lambda^2)]), \quad D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F$$

The three Standard Model forces reside in  $D_F$  through  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ ; gravity resides in  $D_{\text{ext}}$  as depletion of the spectral vacuum. Their messengers - photon,  $W^\pm/Z^0$ , gluons, graviton - are all residues of  $1/q^2$  poles of the corresponding propagators (Table 5). Four quantitative relations connect the forces (U1-U4, Section 16), all derived from the five axioms C1-C5 without free parameters.

The programme also derives all nineteen Standard Model parameters, the cosmological constant, and the CMB temperature from the same geometric principle: the maximum entropy fixed point of the spectral free-energy functional  $\mathcal{F}_\rho[T]$  applied to the product spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A} \otimes A_F, \mathcal{H} \otimes H_F, D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F)$ .

### New results in v5.

- (R20) Unification of the four forces (Section 16). All four interactions are aspects of the single integral  $Z[D]$ . Their force-carriers are identified as spectral poles. Four derived relations connect their strengths: (U1)  $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8$ ; (U2)  $G_N \propto 1/N_F$ ; (U3)  $S_{BH} = 8A/4l_{\text{Pl}}^2$ ; (U4)  $S[D_{\text{total}}] = \sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i)$ . Gravity is weak because it is diluted over  $N_F = 96$  modes.
- (R21) Planck-QVG correspondence (Section 16).  $\hbar$  discretises the action of fields on a fixed background.  $E_0$  discretises the background geometry itself.  $G_N = 2\pi^2 \hbar c / (N_F \Lambda^2)$  is the coupling between the two discretisations - the gravitational analogue of  $e^2$ . The equilibrium condition  $Z_{S^4}(E_0) = N_F = 96$  is the spectral analogue of Planck's thermal equilibrium condition.

### New results in v4.

- (R17) Exact coupling formula (rigorous). Since  $[D_{\text{ext}}, D_F] = 0$ , the BCH expansion terminates, giving  $S[D_{\text{total}}] = \sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i)$  with  $Z_F(\lambda) = \sum_a \exp(-(\mu_a + \lambda)^2 / \Lambda^2)$  exactly.
- (R18) Non-perturbative dominance (MCMC). With the coupled weight  $\Delta^2 \exp(+\sum_i Z_F(\lambda_i))$ ,  $\langle \lambda^2 \rangle$  is reduced 85 - 97% versus Programme 1. The perturbative estimate predicted  $10^{-28}$ ; the true effect is  $\sim 90\%$ .

- (R19) Five mechanisms eliminated.  $Z_N [D_{\text{ext}}]$  alone, perturbative coupling, GibbonsHawking temperature, Dyson large-  $N$ , and naive GWW transition all give  $E_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$  or  $\mathcal{O}(M_{\text{Pl}})$ .
- (C1) Central conjecture of Programme 2 (unproved). Numerical evidence suggests  $E_0/\Lambda \stackrel{?}{=} \exp(-2\pi \text{Tr}_F [Y^2]) = e^{-20\pi} \approx 5.2 \times 10^{-28}$ , versus the observed  $1.6 \times 10^{-28}$  (factor 3.2). The ingredient  $\text{Tr}_F [Y^2] = 10$  is derived from  $A_F$ . The formula is not proved; it is the target of Programme 2.

Programme 2 roadmap. Four steps to prove or refute (C1): (A) confined potential  $V_{\text{tot}} = H^2\lambda^2/(2\Lambda^2) - \log Z_F(\lambda)$ ; (B) Dyson equation with mixed harmonic+Gaussian potential (solvable by RMT); (C) phase transition in  $F = \log Z_N/N^2$ ; (D) instanton action  $S_{\text{inst}} \stackrel{?}{=} 2\pi \text{Tr}_F [Y^2]$ . Horizon: 6-12 months. Steps A and B: negative results (rigorous). Step A computed  $V_{\text{tot}}(\lambda) = g\lambda^2 - \log Z_F(\lambda)$  exactly. Since  $\mu_a/\Lambda < 10^{-8}$  for all SM fermions,  $Z_F(\lambda) \approx N_F e^{-\lambda^2}$  to all accessible orders, giving  $V_{\text{tot}} \approx (g+1)\lambda^2 - \log N_F$ : a pure Gaussian with no phase transition. The de Sitter coupling  $g_{\text{phys}} \sim 10^{-117}$  is negligible. Step B showed that  $Z_F(\lambda)$  is piecewise constant for  $\lambda < \mu_\nu/\Lambda \sim 10^{-28}$ , with no spectral structure in the region where  $E_0/\Lambda$  is observed. All seven mechanisms tested produce  $E_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ . Three paths were then tested and all eliminated: (i) The toric correction  $F_1 = -(N/24) \ln 4$  is polynomial, not exponential; generating  $e^{-62}$  requires  $N_{\text{ext}} \sim 1075$ , not fixed by C1-C5. (ii) The quartic coefficient  $c_4 \sim \text{Tr}_F [D_F^4] \sim 10^{-57}$  is positive (stabilising); no double well, no instanton. (iii) The one-loop mass  $m_\phi^2 = 2 > 0$ ; the minimum at  $\phi = 0$  is stable, no Coleman-Weinberg breaking. The structural obstruction: every correction to  $V_{\text{tot}}$  scales as  $(\mu_a/\Lambda)^{2n} \sim 10^{-16n}$ , while  $E_0/\Lambda \sim 10^{-28}$  corresponds to  $n \approx 1.75$ , a non-integer.

Conclusion of Programme 2:  $E_0$  is a free parameter of QVG, independent of  $M_{\text{Pl}}$ . QVG derives the structure of cosmology ( $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}, T_{\text{CMB}}, N_g = 3$ ) but not the absolute scale ( $H_0, M_{\text{Pl}}$ ). The open question "why  $T_{\text{CMB}}/T_{\text{Pl}} = 5.8 \times 10^{-32}$ ?" is equivalent to "why does  $H_0$  have its observed value?"-open in every current quantum-gravity programme.

- (R13)  $N_g = 3$  derived from axioms **C1 – C5**. The number of generations is fixed by the intersection of two constraints derived from  $D_F$ : (i) the Jarlskog invariant  $J_{CP}(N_g) = 0$  for  $N_g < 3$ , since the number of independent CP phases is  $(N_g - 1)(N_g - 2)/2$ , and  $K_{ij} = 0$  (Act 2) forces all phases into  $D_F$ ; (ii)  $N_g \geq 4$  is excluded by BBN ( $N_\nu < 3.3$ , Planck 2018). The unique integer satisfying both is  $N_g = 3$ . The maximum Jarlskog invariant  $J_{\text{max}}(3) = 1/(6\sqrt{3})$  (DFT<sub>3</sub> matrix) is proved strictly decreasing for  $N \geq 3$  by an injection  $U(N) \hookrightarrow U(N+1)$  with dilution factor  $(N/(N+1))^2$ .
- (R14)  $F_{V_4}$  and the Lyapunov proof. The functional

$$F_{V_4}(A, N_g) = F_{V_3}(A) - \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \Theta(J_{\text{max}}(N_g) > 0) + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \max(0, N_g - 3)$$

has a unique global minimum at  $N_g = 3, A = A_F$ , with  $F_{V_4}(A_F, 3) = -0.364$ . The Lyapunov function  $V(N_g) = F_{V_4}(N_g) - F_{V_4}(3) \geq 0$  for all integers  $N_g$ , with  $V(3) = 0$ , proves that  $N_g = 3$  is a global attractor of the renormalisation flow. The symmetry  $\nu = \kappa = 1/(6\sqrt{3})$  reflects that the baryogenesis energy gain and the BBN penalty share the same characteristic scale  $J_{\max}(3)$ .

- (R15) Structure of Newton's constant (non-circular). The backreaction equation  $\delta S[D_{\text{ext}}]/\delta D_{\text{ext}}(x) = \text{Tr}_{H_F} [D_F^2/\Lambda_{\text{CC}}^2]_x$ , derived from  $D = D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F$ , gives in the IR limit:

$$G_N = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar c}{N_F \Lambda_{\text{CC}}^2}$$

This is a structural prediction:  $G_N \propto 1/(N_F \Lambda_{\text{CC}}^2)$ . The factor  $N_F = 96$  is derived non-circularly from  $A_F$ . The quantum correction takes the form  $G_N(r) = G_N[1 + \eta(l_{\text{CC}}/r)^2 + \dots]$  with  $\eta = f_4/f_2 = 6$  (for  $f(x) = e^{-x}$ ) fully determined by the spectral kernel, without any free parameter.

- (R16) Two free scales - an honest diagnostic. Four natural conditions of the Big Bounce (critical density, entropy continuity, modular time, Hawking temperature) were tested as candidates to fix  $M_{\text{Pl}}/E_0$ . All four fail:  $M_{\text{Pl}}/E_0 = 3.8 \times 10^{30}$  is neither an integer nor a simple fraction, and none of the bounce conditions constrains it. QVG therefore has two independent free scales:  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  (gravitational UV) and  $E_0 \sim T_{\text{CMB}}$  (spectral IR). This is the same situation as LQC ( $\gamma$  Immirzi free) and string theory ( $g_s$  free). The difference is that in QVG the problem is precisely formulated and the resolution path identified (Programme 2 below).

Programme 2. The only mechanism identified that could fix  $E_0/M_{\text{Pl}}$  from axioms C1-C5 is the simultaneous saddle point of the coupled matrix integral

$$Z_N[D_{\text{ext}}, D_F] = \int [dD_{\text{ext}}][dD_F] \exp(S[D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F]/\hbar)$$

with UV boundary condition  $D_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow D_{\text{dS}}(\Lambda_{\text{CC}})$  (de Sitter) and IR condition  $\rho^* = e^{-D_F^2/E_0}/Z_F$ . The coupling term  $S_{\text{coupling}} \sim \Lambda^{-2} \text{Tr}[D_{\text{ext}}^2 \otimes D_F^2]$  links the two saddle-point equations. If this coupling fixes  $E_0/M_{\text{Pl}}$  from C1-C5, the observable universe becomes a theorem. If not, the second dimensional input is irreducible - which would also be a result. Programme 2 requires Programme 1 ( $Z_N[D_{\text{ext}}]$  alone, the RMT matrix model) as an immediate prerequisite.

Results R1-R12 from v1-v3 are unchanged. The programme now has exactly two external inputs:  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  (one dimensional unit, Buckingham  $\pi$ -theorem) and  $E_0$  (equivalent to Frontier F1-bis). Programme 2 is the sole identified path to eliminating the second.

The most urgent experimental test remains P1:  $w = -1$  exactly, now challenged at  $2.8 - 4.2\sigma$  by DESI DR2 (March 2025). DESI DR3 and Euclid (2026-2027) will provide a near-definitive verdict.

Code availability. <https://github.com/berjarry71/QVG>

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks the open-source communities behind NumPy, SciPy, and Python.

New results in v3: (R6) the Big Bounce is derived from the matrix model of  $D$  without free parameters (Section 9); (R7) the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy takes the form  $S_{BH} = 8 \times A/4l_{\text{Pl}}^2$ , with the factor  $8 = N_F/N_{\text{bosons}}$  derived algebraically (Section 10); (R8) the 't Hooft topological expansion identifies Act 2 as the planar limit of Act 3 (Section 8); (R9) the Lorentzian obstacle (Barrett 2007) does not block the semi-classical action; (R10) the spectral gap equation  $\alpha^* = \ln(N_F) / \left( N_F \langle |n|^2 \rangle_q \right)$  provides the fixed-point derivation of  $\alpha_{\text{sol}} = 0.6413$ .

Results R1-R5 from v2 are unchanged. (R11) Level 7(b) is completed:  $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$  is proved unique by five chained theorems T1-T5 (Section 11); (R12) the QVG transcendental constant  $x^* = 2.64782$  is derived from  $Z_{S^4}(x^*) = N_F = 96$  and predicts  $R_{S^4} = 2.6478l_{\text{GUT}}$ . The programme retains one external input,  $E_0$  (equivalent to Frontier F1-bis); its derivation from first principles requires Level 7 ( $F_{\text{total}}(A, \rho)$ ), the cosmological constant, and the CMB temperature from a single geometric principle: the maximum-entropy fixed point of the spectral free-energy functional  $\mathcal{F}_\rho[T]$  applied to the product spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A} \otimes A_F, \mathcal{H} \otimes H_F, D_{\text{ext}} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F)$ .

Three new results: (R1)  $K_{ij} = 0$  exactly (Proposition 2.3); (R2)  $g^* = 2$  reduces the  $\Lambda_{\text{cosmo}}$  residual from 55% to 8.6%; (R3)  $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.709$  K derived from  $a_4^{\text{EM}}$  via CaldeiraLeggett. Section 19 establishes two further results: (R4)  $H_F$  is not a postulate but the GNS representation of  $(A_F, \rho^*)$ ; (R5) the Born rule is forced by Gleason's theorem applied to  $\dim H_F = 96 \geq 3$ . The programme therefore has two remaining postulates:  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  (one dimensional unit, required by the Buckingham  $\pi$ -theorem) and the external geometry  $M$  (equivalent to Frontier F1-bis). The programme has one external input,  $E_0$ ; Frontier F1-bis ( $Z_{\text{ext}}(E_0) = N_F = 96$ ) would close all residuals simultaneously.

The most urgent test is P1:  $w = -1$  exactly, now challenged at  $2.8 - 4.2\sigma$  by DESI DR2 (March 2025). DESI DR3 and Euclid (2026-2027) will provide a near-definitive verdict.

Code availability. <https://github.com/berjarry71/QVG>

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks the open-source communities behind NumPy, SciPy, and Python.

## References

- [1] I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Naimark, "On the embedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space," *Mat. Sbornik* 12, 197 (1943). I. E. Segal, "Irreducible representations of operator algebras," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53, 73 (1947).
- [2] A. M. Gleason, "Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space," *J. Math. Mech.* 6, 885 (1957).
- [3] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [4] A. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "The spectral action principle," *Commun. Math. Phys.* 186, 731 (1997).
- [5] A. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli, "Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 11, 991 (2007).
- [6] D. V. Vassilevich, "Heat kernel expansion: user's manual," *Phys. Rept.* 388, 279 (2003).
- [7] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, 1995.
- [8] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, "Influence of dissipation on quantum tunneling," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 46, 211 (1981).
- [9] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, "Quantum tunnelling in a dissipative system," *Ann. Phys.* 149, 374 (1983).
- [10] R. Kubo, "Statistical-mechanical theory of irreversible processes," *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 12, 570 (1957).
- [11] D. J. Fixsen, "The temperature of the cosmic microwave background," *Astrophys. J.* 707, 916 (2009).
- [12] S. Navas et al. (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* 110, 030001 (2024).
- [13] DESI Collaboration, "DESI 2024 VI: Cosmological constraints from BAO," *arXiv:2404.03002* (2024).
- [14] DESI Collaboration, "DESI DR2: Dark energy constraints from three years of BAO observations," *arXiv:2503.14738* (2025).
- [15] S. Weinberg, "The cosmological constant problem," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 61, 1 (1989).
- [16] J. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [17] A. Connes, "On the spectral characterization of manifolds," *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 7, 1 (2013).
- [18] : *Unification of Pysics by Quantum Vacuum Geometry*, KDP Pblishing (2026). Code: <https://github.com/berjarry71/QVG>.
- [19] J. Barrett, Lorentzian version of the noncommutative geometry of the Standard Model, *J. Math. Phys.* 48, 012303 (2007).
- [20] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, P. Singh, Quantum nature of the Big Bang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 141301 (2006).