Revisiting Michelson–Morley: Coherence, Rotation, and the Hidden Structure of Spacetime

Michael Sarnowski

June 2025

Abstract

The Michelson–Morley experiment is widely cited as the definitive disproof of the luminiferous aether and the foundation of relativistic physics. [1] However, emerging models of spacetime based on discrete coherence structures—such as the Holosphere lattice—invite a reconsideration of its assumptions and interpretations. In this paper, we re-express the implications of Michelson–Morley in the context of a rotating, defect-limited medium in which light propagation depends on angular coherence rather than linear aether flow. We show that the observed null result is consistent not only with special relativity but also with a coherent rotational substratum where absolute motion is undetectable due to phase-locked propagation. [2] Furthermore, we propose experimental tests using directional strain gradients and phase coherence detectors that may reveal underlying anisotropies missed by the original apparatus. This reformulation preserves relativistic invariance while embedding it in a deeper, emergent structure—a coherent, quantized spacetime medium with a preferred rotational frame.

1 Historical Overview and Paradigm Shift

The Michelson–Morley experiment, conducted in 1887, was designed to detect Earth's motion through a hypothesized stationary aether by measuring shifts in the interference pattern of light beams traveling in orthogonal directions. The expected fringe shift—arising from a presumed "aether wind"—did not occur, yielding a famously null result. This outcome helped usher in Einstein's theory of special relativity, which eliminated the need for a preferred frame of reference and posited the invariance of the speed of light in all inertial frames [1, 2].

While this shift marked a conceptual revolution, it also prematurely discarded any notion of an underlying medium through which light might propagate. More recent developments in condensed matter analogs, quantum field theory, and holographic principles suggest that a deeper substrate—though not a classical fluid-like aether—may exist [5, 4]. Within such frameworks, light does not travel through emptiness but through a structured background whose properties define phase coherence and propagation characteristics.

The Holosphere Theory posits that spacetime is composed of discrete, rotating units—Holospheres—arranged in a quantized lattice. These spheres maintain angular coherence, enabling light and other field quanta to propagate via coordinated phase slippage. In this model, apparent isotropy of the speed of light arises not from the absence of a medium, but from the inability of interferometric experiments to detect phase-locked propagation in a rotating, coherent substratum. [3]

This reinterpretation invites a shift from the binary choice of "aether or no aether" toward a third option: a structured spacetime medium that is neither a fluid nor an absolute frame, but a discrete rotational geometry whose properties give rise to relativistic effects emergently.

2 Angular Coherence and Frame Invariance

Unlike the classical aether—which was presumed to be a fixed, inert medium—Holosphere Theory describes spacetime as a rotating, discrete lattice composed of angularly coherent units. Each Holosphere maintains a preferred spin orientation and phase relationship with its neighbors, creating a medium through which light propagates not as a wave through a static fluid, but as an angular disturbance traveling along phase-locked pathways.

In this framework, the invariance of the speed of light in all directions is preserved—not because no medium exists, but because the medium itself rotates uniformly at every point. Just as a gyroscope maintains directional stability regardless of motion through space, the Holosphere lattice preserves local angular coherence even under relative motion. Thus, any attempt to detect linear drift through the medium fails—not due to absence of structure, but due to symmetry and phase-locking in that structure.

This reinterpretation allows for relativistic invariance to emerge from the discrete geometry of the Holosphere lattice, rather than being postulated axiomatically. The null result of the Michelson–Morley experiment is not paradoxical; it reflects the natural coherence of a quantized, rotational medium that conceals absolute motion through internal phase alignment.

From Aether to Angular Coherence

Classical Aether:

- Treated as a stationary, continuous fluid permeating all space.
- Expected to exhibit "aether wind" as Earth moved through it.
- Null Michelson–Morley result led to its rejection. [4]

Holosphere Coherent Medium:

- Composed of discrete, spinning spheres (Holospheres) in a quantized lattice.
- Exhibits local rotational invariance rather than linear drift.
- Null result arises naturally from phase-locked angular propagation—motion through the medium is undetectable due to coherence symmetry.

Rather than a mechanical fluid, the Holosphere model proposes a rotational coherence substrate whose structure explains both relativistic invariance and the Michelson–Morley result, while opening the door to new anisotropy-sensitive experiments.

3 Reformulating the Interferometer Experiment

The Michelson–Morley experiment was constructed on the assumption that light travels through a static background medium, and that Earth's motion through that medium would generate a measurable anisotropy in the speed of light. If the aether were real and static, light beams moving in the direction of Earth's motion would experience a different transit time than those moving perpendicular to it—producing a detectable shift in interference fringes.

Holosphere Theory replaces this picture with a discrete, rotating lattice in which each point in spacetime is composed of angularly coherent, spinning units. In this model, there is no linear drift through a static field, because the medium itself is not static. Instead, every local region of space maintains rotational coherence with its neighbors, forming a phase-locked substratum in which light propagates via angular phase alignment, not linear motion through a fluid.

The interferometer experiment, when placed in such a medium, does not measure differences in translational velocity, but instead probes the phase continuity of the lattice. Since angular coherence is maintained in all directions at the local level, both arms of the interferometer experience the same phase conditions, and no fringe shift is observed—exactly as the Michelson–Morley result showed.

In this context, the null result is not a paradox, but a confirmation of the underlying coherence of the lattice. The experiment was designed to detect a wind in a static medium. But the Holosphere lattice is more like a sea of synchronized gyroscopes: no matter how you move through it, their orientations remain locked, and no directional preference emerges at the interferometric level.

4 Angular Phase Invariance and Null Detection

In traditional relativity, the invariance of the speed of light in all inertial frames is taken as a postulate. But within the Holosphere framework, this invariance arises naturally from the structure of spacetime itself: a rotationally coherent lattice in which light propagates via angular phase continuity rather than traversal through emptiness. [7]

Each Holosphere contributes to the maintenance of this phase structure by spinning in synchronized alignment with neighboring spheres. Photons—interpreted in this model as propagating angular excitations—follow discrete phase paths where their internal coherence is preserved. This preserves the phase velocity in all directions, regardless of the motion of the source or detector, explaining why interferometric measurements (such as Michelson–Morley) consistently yield null results.

Unlike fluid-based aether models that allow for directionally dependent drift (and hence fringe shifts), the Holosphere lattice preserves isotropy through intrinsic angular redundancy: rotations are symmetric under reorientation, and phase gradients are locally invariant. Thus, the propagation of light does not accumulate detectable anisotropies, even as the system moves relative to its environment.

In a rotating coherent medium, the interferometer arms are always embedded in a lattice that maintains symmetric angular strain across all paths. Therefore, any changes in relative motion fail to induce a measurable phase offset between arms. The null result is not a failure to detect the medium—it is the signature of its hidden coherence.

5 Testable Deviations and Future Interferometric Designs

While the original Michelson–Morley experiment revealed no anisotropy in the speed of light, it was not optimized to detect the kind of rotational coherence proposed in Holosphere Theory. [5] In particular, conventional interferometers assume translational motion through a medium, but not rotational phase alignment within a discrete lattice.

Holosphere Theory predicts subtle anisotropies that emerge not from absolute motion, but from local coherence gradients, rotational shear, or strain asymmetries within the lattice. These effects would be undetectable with conventional interferometers but could be revealed through redesigned experiments that probe angular coherence directly.

We propose several experimental directions:

- **High-precision rotating interferometers**: Devices rotated on precision turntables may detect phase discontinuities if coherence strain varies with orientation. Unlike Sagnac experiments detecting rotation through global frame-dragging, these tests would seek directional coherence gradients on sub-micron scales.
- **Angular strain interferometry**: New designs that introduce artificial angular phase offsets—by modulating the spin alignment of boundary materials or inserting structured dielectric phases—could reveal subtle anisotropies when coherence is disrupted.
- **Lattice-sensitive materials**: Systems built from aligned nanostructures or spin-chain networks may serve as local amplifiers of coherence strain, revealing anisotropies in light propagation that depend on alignment with the lattice frame.
- **Quantum entanglement as a probe**: Long-range entanglement experiments aligned with proposed coherence axes of the Holosphere lattice may show decoherence gradients when one photon is rotated relative to the lattice frame or moved across coherence domains.

These predictions are testable with current or near-future technology. If Holosphere Theory is correct, the null result of Michelson–Morley is just the first-order approximation; higher-order effects—angular strain gradients, coherence saturation zones, and directional decoherence—remain to be discovered.

6 Historical Reflection and Theoretical Reconciliation

The Michelson–Morley experiment of 1887 is widely regarded as the definitive falsification of the aether hypothesis. Yet its null result did not disprove the existence of a medium—it only disproved a specific kind of medium: one that behaves like a static, drag-inducing fluid. What it could not rule out is a more subtle, coherent, and intrinsically symmetric substratum that lacks translational asymmetry altogether. [5]

Special relativity resolved the null result by postulating the constancy of the speed of light in all inertial frames and eliminating the need for a physical medium. However, this solution, while elegant, obscured the possibility that light might still propagate through a deeper structure—just one not detectable by classical means.

Holosphere Theory revisits this question from a modern perspective, integrating insights from quantum coherence, angular momentum quantization, and vacuum structure. Rather than denying the medium, it redefines it: not as an invisible fluid, but as a discrete, spinning lattice whose properties give rise to both relativity and quantum field dynamics.

The historical narrative may need revision. Michelson and Morley did not fail to detect the aether—they may have succeeded in detecting its absence of anisotropy. Their result is not a disproof of medium but a direct confirmation of angular isotropy in a coherent lattice. In this light, the Holosphere model does not contradict Einstein's postulates, but rather derives them from deeper geometric and dynamical principles.

This perspective offers a path to reconcile past and future physics:

- The aether was too rigid; relativity was too abstract.
- The Holosphere lattice is both structured and non-preferential.
- It allows for invariant light propagation while retaining a physical substrate with emergent properties.

In this reinterpretation, the Michelson–Morley experiment becomes not the end of the aether, but the first glimpse into the coherent architecture of spacetime.

If Lorentz Had Known About Angular Lattices...

Hendrik Lorentz's aether theory attempted to preserve the idea of an absolute medium by proposing that objects contract in the direction of motion—an idea which became the Lorentz transformation. Though ultimately absorbed into Einstein's special relativity, Lorentz never abandoned the notion of an underlying medium.

Had Lorentz been aware of a discrete, rotationally coherent lattice:

- He might have replaced contraction with *coherence locking*—the idea that rotational phase alignment preserves invariance.
- He could have derived Lorentz invariance not from abstract symmetry, but from emergent angular phase constraints across lattice nodes.
- The aether would not be fluid-like, but **spin-based**, coherent, and relational—eliminating drag while retaining structure.

Implication: Lorentz's legacy, often overshadowed by Einstein's reinterpretation, may find new relevance if spacetime is shown to emerge from rotational coherence. In that case, his instinct that "something" underlies light propagation was not wrong—just ahead of its time.

7 Conclusions and Philosophical Implications

The Michelson–Morley experiment has long stood as a cornerstone of modern physics—not for what it saw, but for what it didn't. Its null result launched a revolution, eliminating the classical aether and catalyzing the rise of special relativity. But perhaps it did not eliminate the medium so much as mischaracterize it.

Holosphere Theory offers a reconciliation between the desire for a physical substratum and the empirical need for invariance. Instead of a drag-inducing fluid, it proposes a discrete, rotationally coherent lattice whose internal angular phase structure supports light propagation in all directions equally. [8] It is not empty, nor does it resist motion—it is tuned to preserve internal symmetry through spin coherence.

This reinterpretation reshapes our view of space and time. Spacetime is no longer an abstract continuum, nor a flowing field, but a relational network of rotational alignments. Coherence replaces substance; angular strain replaces curvature; phase replaces force.

In this view:

- The null result of Michelson–Morley confirms isotropic angular coherence.
- Lorentz symmetry emerges from phase-matching in a rotating lattice. [6]
- Light does not traverse emptiness—it propagates by slipping between aligned rotational states.

Thus, the experiment that once "disproved" the medium may have in fact glimpsed its most subtle truth: that the universe is not moving through the medium—it is made of it.

To see nothing, sometimes, is to glimpse everything.

References

[1] Michelson, A. A., and Morley, E. W. (1887). "On the Relative Motion of the Earth and the Luminiferous Ether." *American Journal of Science*, 34(203), 333–345.

[2] Einstein, A. (1905). "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." *Annalen der Physik*, 17, 891–921.

[3] Sarnowski, M. J. *The Lattice Remembers: A Quantum Field Theory from Holosphere Coherence*. Holosphere Theory Paper 42, 2025.

[4] Volovik, G. E. *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*. Oxford University Press, 2003.

[5] Barceló, C., Liberati, S., and Visser, M. "Analogue gravity." *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 14(3), 2011.

[6] Weinberg, S. "The cosmological constant problem." *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 61(1), 1–23, 1989.

[7] Milonni, P. W. *The Quantum Vacuum: An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics*. Academic Press, 1994.

[8] Padmanabhan, T. "Understanding our universe: Current status and open issues." *Current Science*, 88(7), 1057–1067, 2005.

Appendix A: Glossary of Key Terms

- **Coherence strain** – A gradient in angular phase alignment across the Holosphere lattice, analogous to curvature in general relativity.
- **Discrete lattice** – The proposed substructure of spacetime composed of Planck-scale Holospheres arranged in a cuboctahedral packing.
- **Holosphere** – A neutron-scale spherical unit composed of nested rotating Planck spheres; the fundamental unit of spacetime in this theory.
- **Michelson–Morley null result** – The empirical finding that no detectable difference in the speed of light exists due to Earth's motion, classically interpreted as disproving the aether.
- **Rotational coherence** – The synchronized phase behavior of spinning Holospheres, allowing light to propagate without detecting absolute motion.
- **Vacancy defect** – A localized disruption in the coherence pattern of Holospheres, which propagates and behaves like a quantum particle.

Appendix B: Symbols and Pronunciation

Symbol	Definition	Pronunciation
T	Temperature of coherence system [K]	"capital tee"
τ	Decoherence time scale [s]	"tau"
λ	Angular strain coupling constant [J/rad^2]	"lambda"
$\hat{e}^\dagger$	Electron creation operator	"e-dagger"
$\hat{\gamma}^\dagger$	Photon creation operator	"gamma-dagger"
$\phi(x)$	Scalar field at position x	"phi of x"
$\mathcal{L}$	Lagrangian density	"script L"
c	Speed of light [m/s]	"see"
$\hbar$	Reduced Planck constant [J·s]	"h-bar"

Appendix C: Reference Equations

- **Lattice coherence potential**:
  $$\mathcal{V}(\theta) = \lambda(1 - \cos\theta)$$
  Describes angular tension between adjacent Holospheres.
- **Coherence wave equation**:
  $$\Box\phi(x) + \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{d\phi} = 0$$
  A scalar field equation adapted for phase oscillations in the lattice.
- **Defect energy quantization**:
  $$E = \hbar\omega_n$$
  Discrete energy levels associated with angular phase defects.
- **Angular strain-induced redshift (Paper 11)**:
  $$1 + z = \exp\left(\frac{b^3}{3}\right)$$
  Relates redshift to coherence gradients along the lattice.
- **Lorentz factor from angular misalignment**:
  $$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma \sim \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)}$$
  A reinterpretation of Lorentz symmetry as angular coherence locking.

For many years, I tried to understand why no one seemed to recognize the possibility that the universe could be built from something as simple—and as powerful—as spheres in motion. The angular coherence, the precise shell symmetries, the recursive geometry, the emergent behavior from vacancy defects—these were not artifacts of numerology, but signposts of structure.

Yet the idea that space might have structure is still seen as heretical. Michelson and Morley, in seeking wind through a continuous aether, found none. But what if the medium was not continuous? What if it was so perfectly phase-locked, so rotationally symmetric, that it resisted detection not because it was absent, but because it was coherent?

This paper—and the broader Holosphere Theory it belongs to—is an attempt to re-open that door, not to return to old ideas, but to move forward into a new framework where discreteness, coherence, and angular strain define the vacuum—not as emptiness, but as the very fabric of existence.

— M. J. Sarnowski