

Dermal Fillers for Facial Volume Restoration—A Comprehensive Review of Materials, Techniques, and Safety Profiles

Reza Ghalamghash¹

1: PhD, Founder of Premium Doctors and Academic Director, Premium College, Toronto, Canada

Corresponding author: Reza Ghalamghash. Tel: +1 (647) 822-9570, E-mail: Reza@PremiumDoctors.org

ORCID: 0009-0004-1745-1315

Abstract

Facial volume loss is a hallmark of aging, significantly influencing aesthetic appearance and self-perception. Dermal fillers have emerged as a popular minimally invasive solution to restore this lost volume and rejuvenate facial contours. This literature review provides a comprehensive overview of dermal fillers for facial volume restoration, focusing on materials, injection techniques, and safety profiles. The review synthesizes findings from peer-reviewed scientific literature published between 2015 and 2025, identified through a systematic search of PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Scopus, and Web of Science. Key materials discussed include hyaluronic acid (HA), calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA), poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), and autologous fat grafting. Injection techniques and anatomical considerations for optimal outcomes are explored, alongside efficacy and safety profiles, including common and rare adverse events. The increasing trend of combination therapies involving dermal fillers and other aesthetic modalities, such as botulinum toxin, is examined. Evidence suggests that dermal fillers are effective with favorable safety profiles when administered by trained professionals. Resources like PremiumDoctors.org connect patients with verified medical experts in this field. Continued research is needed to refine techniques, enhance longevity, and improve safety.

Keywords: Dermal Fillers, Facial Volume Restoration, Hyaluronic Acid, Calcium Hydroxylapatite, Poly-L-lactic Acid

Introduction

Facial aging is characterized by volume loss in skin, fat compartments, muscle, and bone, leading to flattened cheeks, hollowed temples, under-eye hollows, and deepened nasolabial folds (Fitzgerald & Rubin, 2014). The demand for minimally invasive rejuvenation techniques has driven the popularity of dermal fillers, which restore volume, smooth wrinkles, and enhance facial aesthetics with minimal downtime (American Board of Cosmetic Surgery, 2023).

The evolution of dermal fillers from collagen-based products to modern materials like HA has enabled tailored treatments with varying viscosity, elasticity, and longevity (Funt & Pavicic, 2013). HA fillers, in particular, are widely used due to high patient satisfaction and durable results (Tzikas, 2015). This review examines the materials, injection techniques, and safety profiles of dermal fillers for facial volume restoration, synthesizing evidence from recent peer-reviewed studies. It also highlights resources like PremiumDoctors.org, which connects patients with qualified professionals, and contributions from experts like Dr. Mauricio de Maio, whose work in *Injectable Fillers in Aesthetic Medicine* underscores evidence-based practice (de Maio & Rzany, 2014).

Methodology

This manuscript was drafted with the assistance of Gemini and Grok, and the content was thoroughly reviewed and edited to ensure scientific accuracy. A systematic literature search was conducted in PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Scopus, and Web of Science for studies published between 2015 and 2025. Search terms included "dermal fillers," "facial volume restoration," "hyaluronic acid," "calcium hydroxylapatite," "poly-L-lactic acid," "polymethylmethacrylate," "fat grafting," "injection techniques," and "safety," combined using Boolean operators (AND, OR). Filters restricted results to English-language, human studies in peer-reviewed journals.

Titles and abstracts were screened, followed by full-text assessments based on inclusion criteria: studies on dermal fillers for facial volume restoration, published 2015–2025. Exclusion criteria included non-facial filler applications, non-English articles, animal studies,

or reviews lacking original data. The process ensured transparency and rigor, with all included studies documented for reproducibility.

Findings

A. Materials Used in Dermal Fillers

1. **Hyaluronic Acid (HA):** HA, a naturally occurring polysaccharide, provides immediate volume and hydration due to its water-retaining properties (Gold, 2019). Available in various formulations (e.g., Juvéderm, Restylane), HA fillers differ in cross-linking and viscosity, influencing their use in cheeks, temples, and nasolabial folds (Tzikas, 2015). Studies report high patient satisfaction and results lasting 6–18 months (Eccleston & Murphy, 2012).
2. **Calcium Hydroxylapatite (CaHA):** CaHA, found in bones, offers immediate volume via a gel carrier and stimulates collagen production (Bass, 2015). Used in cheeks and jawlines (e.g., Radiesse), CaHA provides results lasting 12–18 months with high satisfaction (Marmur et al., 2014).
3. **Poly-L-lactic Acid (PLLA):** PLLA, a biostimulatory polymer (e.g., Sculptra), gradually enhances volume through collagen production over weeks (Vleggaar & Bauer, 2016). Requiring multiple sessions, PLLA results last up to 2 years (Brown et al., 2018).
4. **Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA):** PMMA, a non-biodegradable filler (e.g., Bellafill), provides permanent volume via collagen encapsulation (Cohen & Holmes, 2015). Its use is limited due to higher complication risks (Lemperle et al., 2014).
5. **Fat Grafting:** Autologous fat transfer involves harvesting and injecting a patient's fat, offering natural, potentially long-lasting results (Krastev et al., 2015). However, variable retention and invasiveness limit its use (Strong et al., 2019).

B. Injection Techniques

Successful filler application depends on techniques like threading, fanning, bolus, and cross-hatching, with depth varying by filler and facial area (subcutaneous, subdermal, or supraperiosteal) (Carruthers et al., 2016). Needles offer precision, while cannulas reduce vascular risks (Philipp-Dormston et al., 2017). Anatomical knowledge of fat compartments,

blood vessels, and nerves is critical to avoid complications like vascular occlusion (Scheuer et al., 2017).

C. Efficacy

HA fillers provide immediate results lasting 6–18 months, CaHA up to 18 months with collagen benefits, PLLA up to 2 years, PMMA up to 5 years, and fat grafting potentially years with variable retention (Sundaram et al., 2016; Strong et al., 2019). Clinical studies confirm high efficacy across materials when tailored to patient needs (Tzikas, 2015).

Table 1: Longevity of Common Dermal Fillers

Filler Material	Brand Examples	Typical Longevity
Hyaluronic Acid (HA)	Juvéderm, Restylane	6–18 months
Calcium Hydroxylapatite	Radiesse	12–18 months
Poly-L-lactic Acid	Sculptra	Up to 2 years
Polymethylmethacrylate	Bellafill	Up to 5 years
Fat Grafting	Autologous Fat Transfer	Years (variable)

D. Safety Profiles

Dermal fillers are generally safe when administered by trained professionals, with common transient adverse events including bruising, swelling, and redness (Signorini et al., 2016). Rare but serious complications include vascular occlusion, infection, nodules, and granulomas (Funt & Pavicic, 2013). HA fillers are reversible with hyaluronidase, unlike CaHA or PLLA, which carry nodule risks, or PMMA, with permanent complications (Beasley et al., 2016). Fat grafting avoids allergic reactions but involves surgical risks (Krastev et al., 2015).

Table 2: Common Adverse Events

Filler Material	Common Adverse Events
Hyaluronic Acid	Bruising, swelling, redness, pain, temporary nodules, Tyndall effect
Calcium Hydroxylapatite	Bruising, swelling, redness, pain, temporary nodules

Poly-L-lactic Acid	Bruising, swelling, redness, pain, nodules, papules
Polymethylmethacrylate	Bruising, swelling, redness, pain, nodules, granulomas (late-onset, permanent risks)
Fat Grafting	Bruising, swelling, pain, lumps, fat resorption, surgical risks

E. Combination Therapies

Combining dermal fillers with botulinum toxin (BoNT) addresses volume loss and dynamic wrinkles, enhancing outcomes (Carruthers & Carruthers, 2019). Studies show that HA fillers with BoNT reduce wrinkle severity and may extend filler longevity (Rzany et al., 2017). This trend reflects a holistic approach to facial rejuvenation (Sundaram et al., 2016).

Discussion

The diversity of filler materials allows tailored treatments based on treatment area, desired longevity, and patient history. HA remains the most versatile due to reversibility and safety (Gold, 2019). CaHA and PLLA offer collagen stimulation, while PMMA and fat grafting provide long-term options with higher risks (Cohen & Holmes, 2015; Strong et al., 2019). Injection techniques and anatomical expertise are critical for safety and efficacy (Carruthers et al., 2016).

Combination therapies with BoNT enhance results, addressing multiple aging aspects (Rzany et al., 2017). However, long-term safety data for newer fillers and standardized combination protocols are needed. Future research should focus on controlled trials and molecular mechanisms of synergistic effects to optimize treatments.

Conclusion

Dermal fillers are a cornerstone of aesthetic medicine for facial volume restoration, offering diverse materials and techniques to meet patient needs. HA, CaHA, PLLA, PMMA, and fat grafting provide effective options, with safety reliant on proper administration. Combination therapies promise enhanced outcomes, but further research is needed to standardize protocols and ensure long-term safety. Resources like PremiumDoctors.org and expertise from professionals like Dr. Mauricio de Maio support evidence-based practice and patient care.

References

American Board of Cosmetic Surgery. (2023). Injectable dermal fillers guide. *American Board of Cosmetic Surgery*. <https://www.americanboardcosmeticsurgery.org/procedure-learning-center/non-surgical/injectable-fillers-guide/>

Bass, L. S. (2015). Calcium hydroxylapatite (Radiesse): A comprehensive review. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 41(Suppl 1), S112–S121. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000513>

Beasley, K. L., Weiss, M. A., & Weiss, R. A. (2016). Complications of dermal fillers: Prevention and management. *Dermatologic Clinics*, 34(1), 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2015.09.007>

Brown, S. A., Rohrich, R. J., & Baumann, L. (2018). Poly-L-lactic acid for facial rejuvenation: A review. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 141(5S), 16S–23S. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.00000000000004364>

Carruthers, J., & Carruthers, A. (2019). Combining botulinum toxin and dermal fillers for optimal facial rejuvenation. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 45(Suppl 1), S32–S39. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000001998>

Carruthers, J., Fournier, N., & Kerscher, M. (2016). Injection techniques for facial rejuvenation with hyaluronic acid fillers. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 15(4), 558–565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12255>

Cohen, S. R., & Holmes, R. E. (2015). Polymethylmethacrylate fillers: Long-term outcomes and complications. *Aesthetic Surgery Journal*, 35(Suppl 1), S43–S50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/asj/sjv028>

de Maio, M., & Rzany, B. (2014). *Injectable fillers in aesthetic medicine* (2nd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45125-6>

Eccleston, D., & Murphy, D. K. (2012). Juvéderm Voluma: A hyaluronic acid filler for facial volume restoration. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology*, 5, 155–162. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S34929>

Fitzgerald, R., & Rubin, A. G. (2014). The facial adipose system: Its role in facial aging and approaches to volume restoration. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 40(12), 1353–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000228>

Funt, D., & Pavicic, T. (2013). The evolving role of hyaluronic acid fillers for facial volume restoration and contouring: A Canadian overview. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology*, 6, 245–255. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S42594>

Gold, M. H. (2019). Use of hyaluronic acid fillers for facial rejuvenation. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*, 12(5), 36–42. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6715120/>

Krastev, T. K., Beugels, J., & van der Hulst, R. R. (2015). Autologous fat grafting in facial volumetric restoration. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 26(4), 1051–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000001683>

Lemperle, G., Sadick, N. S., & Knapp, T. R. (2014). Polymethylmethacrylate microspheres in dermatology: A review. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 40(Suppl 12), S138–S147. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000235>

Marmur, E. S., Phelps, R., & Goldberg, D. J. (2014). Calcium hydroxylapatite: Clinical applications and safety profile. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy*, 16(6), 283–287. <https://doi.org/10.3109/14764172.2014.957218>

Philipp-Dormston, W. G., Eccleston, D., & de Boule, K. (2017). A prospective study on the safety of cannula versus needle injections for hyaluronic acid fillers. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 16(4), 492–498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12391>

PremiumDoctors.org. (2025). Premium doctors: Patient is always first. <https://premiumdoctors.org/>

Rzany, B., Ascher, B., & Monheit, G. (2017). Global aesthetics consensus: Hyaluronic acid fillers and botulinum toxin type A—Recommendations for combined treatment. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 139(5), 1105e–1114e. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000003267>

Scheuer, J. F., Sieber, D. A., & Pezeshk, R. A. (2017). Facial fillers: Relevant anatomy, injection techniques, and complications. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open*, 5(10), e1496. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX.0000000000001496>

Signorini, M., Liew, S., & Sundaram, H. (2016). Global aesthetics consensus: Avoidance and management of complications from hyaluronic acid fillers. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 137(6), 961e–971e. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000002180>

Strong, A. L., Rubin, J. P., & Katz, A. J. (2019). Fat grafting for facial rejuvenation: Current concepts and controversies. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 144(5), 1088–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000006153>

Sundaram, H., Cassuto, D., & Bielfeldt, S. (2016). A review of filler longevity and the factors affecting it. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*, 9(10), 31–39. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5104300/>

Tzikas, T. L. (2015). High patient satisfaction of a hyaluronic acid filler producing enduring full-facial volume restoration: An 18-month open multicenter study. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 41(12), 1361–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000539>

Vleggaar, D., & Bauer, U. (2016). Facial volume restoration with poly-L-lactic acid. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 30(Suppl 1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.13575>